Mixed Stock Analysis of Chinook Salmon Harvested in Southeast Alaska Commercial Troll and Sport Fisheries, 2016

by Sara Gilk-Baumer Danielle F. Evenson Kyle Shedd and Edgar L. Jones III

January 2018

Alaska Department of Fish and Game

Divisions of Sport Fish and Commercial Fisheries



Symbols and Abbreviations

The following symbols and abbreviations, and others approved for the Système International d'Unités (SI), are used without definition in the following reports by the Divisions of Sport Fish and of Commercial Fisheries: Fishery Manuscripts, Fishery Data Series Reports, Fishery Management Reports, and Special Publications. All others, including deviations from definitions listed below, are noted in the text at first mention, as well as in the titles or footnotes of tables, and in figure or figure captions.

Weights and measures (metric)		General		Mathematics, statistics	
centimeter	cm	Alaska Administrative		all standard mathematical	
deciliter	dL	Code	AAC	signs, symbols and	
gram	g	all commonly accepted		abbreviations	
hectare	ha	abbreviations	e.g., Mr., Mrs.,	alternate hypothesis	H _A
kilogram	kg		AM, PM, etc.	base of natural logarithm	е
kilometer	km	all commonly accepted		catch per unit effort	CPUE
liter	L	professional titles	e.g., Dr., Ph.D.,	coefficient of variation	CV
meter	m		R.N., etc.	common test statistics	(F, t, χ^2 , etc.)
milliliter	mL	at	@	confidence interval	CI
millimeter	mm	compass directions:		correlation coefficient	
		east	E	(multiple)	R
Weights and measures (English)		north	Ν	correlation coefficient	
cubic feet per second	ft ³ /s	south	S	(simple)	r
foot	ft	west	W	covariance	cov
gallon	gal	copyright	©	degree (angular)	0
inch	in	corporate suffixes:		degrees of freedom	df
mile	mi	Company	Co.	expected value	Ε
nautical mile	nmi	Corporation	Corp.	greater than	>
ounce	OZ	Incorporated	Inc.	greater than or equal to	\geq
pound	lb	Limited	Ltd.	harvest per unit effort	HPUE
quart	qt	District of Columbia	D.C.	less than	<
yard	yd	et alii (and others)	et al.	less than or equal to	≤
-	-	et cetera (and so forth)	etc.	logarithm (natural)	ln
Time and temperature		exempli gratia		logarithm (base 10)	log
day	d	(for example)	e.g.	logarithm (specify base)	\log_{2} , etc.
degrees Celsius	°C	Federal Information		minute (angular)	,
degrees Fahrenheit	°F	Code	FIC	not significant	NS
degrees kelvin	K	id est (that is)	i.e.	null hypothesis	Ho
hour	h	latitude or longitude	lat or long	percent	%
minute	min	monetary symbols		probability	Р
second	S	(U.S.)	\$, ¢	probability of a type I error	
		months (tables and		(rejection of the null	
Physics and chemistry		figures): first three		hypothesis when true)	α
all atomic symbols		letters	Jan,,Dec	probability of a type II error	
alternating current	AC	registered trademark	®	(acceptance of the null	
ampere	А	trademark	тм	hypothesis when false)	β
calorie	cal	United States		second (angular)	"
direct current	DC	(adjective)	U.S.	standard deviation	SD
hertz	Hz	United States of		standard error	SE
horsepower	hp	America (noun)	USA	variance	
hydrogen ion activity (negative log of)	рН	U.S.C.	United States Code	population sample	Var var
parts per million	ppm	U.S. state	use two-letter	-	
parts per thousand	ppt, ‰		abbreviations (e.g., AK, WA)		
volts	V				
watts	w				

FISHERY DATA SERIES NO. 18-01

MIXED STOCK ANALYSIS OF CHINOOK SALMON HARVESTED IN SOUTHEAST ALASKA COMMERCIAL TROLL AND SPORT FISHERIES, 2016

by

Sara Gilk-Baumer Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Anchorage

Danielle F. Evenson Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Juneau

Kyle Shedd Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Anchorage

and

Edgar L. Jones III Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish, Juneau

Alaska Department of Fish and Game Division of Sport Fish, Research and Technical Services 333 Raspberry Road, Anchorage, Alaska, 99518-1599

January 2018

Funding sources for commercial fishery samples are Alaska General Fund Salmon Stock ID FM-143, PSC Northern Endowment Fund Chinook Port Sampling COOP-17-007, U.S. Section PSC Coded-Wire Tag Improvement Program award NA16NMF4380088, and PST implementation fund award NA14NMF4380113. Funding sources for sport fishery samples are U.S. Letter of Agreement award NA16NMF4380094, U.S. Section PSC Coded-Wire Tag Improvement Program award NA16NMF4380088, U.S. Letter of Agreement award NA16NMF4380094, and Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration Act under Project F-10-30, Job No. S-1-1. The funding source for genetic analysis was U.S. Letter of Agreement award NA16NMF4380094 and State of Alaska general funds.

ADF&G Fishery Data Series was established in 1987 for the publication of Division of Sport Fish technically oriented results for a single project or group of closely related projects, and in 2004 became a joint divisional series with the Division of Commercial Fisheries. Fishery Data Series reports are intended for fishery and other technical professionals and are available through the Alaska State Library and on the Internet: http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/sf/publications/. This publication has undergone editorial and peer review.

Note: Product names used in this publication are included for completeness but do not constitute an endorsement.

Sara Gilk-Baumer Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries 333 Raspberry Road, Anchorage AK 99518, USA

Danielle F. Evenson Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries 1255 W. 8th Street, Juneau AK 99811-5526, USA

Kyle Shedd Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, 333 Raspberry Road, Anchorage, AK 99518, USA and

Edgar L. Jones III Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish 1255 W. 8th Street, Juneau AK 99811-5526, USA

This document should be cited as follows:

Gilk-Baumer, S., D. F. Evenson, K. Shedd, and E. L. Jones. 2017. Mixed stock analysis of Chinook salmon harvested in Southeast Alaska commercial troll and sport fisheries, 2016. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 18-01, Anchorage.

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) administers all programs and activities free from discrimination based on race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, marital status, pregnancy, parenthood, or disability. The department administers all programs and activities in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972.

If you believe you have been discriminated against in any program, activity, or facility please write: ADF&G ADA Coordinator, P.O. Box 115526, Juneau, AK 99811-5526

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, MS 2042, Arlington, VA 22203

Office of Equal Opportunity, U.S. Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street NW MS 5230, Washington DC 20240

The department's ADA Coordinator can be reached via phone at the following numbers: (VOICE) 907-465-6077, (Statewide Telecommunication Device for the Deaf) 1-800-478-3648, (Juneau TDD) 907-465-3646, or (FAX) 907-465-6078

For information on alternative formats and questions on this publication, please contact: ADF&G, Division of Sport Fish, Research and Technical Services, 333 Raspberry Rd, Anchorage AK 99518 (907) 267-2375

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

LIST OF TABLES	ii
LIST OF FIGURES	ii
LIST OF APPENDICES	iii
ABSTRACT	1
INTRODUCTION	1
OBJECTIVES	4
METHODS	5
Fishery Sampling	5
Troll Fishery Sport Fishery	6
Mixed Stock Analysis	
Laboratory Analysis Statistical Analysis Troll Fishery Mixture Subsampling Sport Fishery Mixture Subsampling BAYES Analysis	7 8 8 8
RESULTS	10
Fishery Sampling Troll Fishery Sport Fishery Mixed Stock Analysis	10 11
Laboratory Analysis Statistical Analysis Early Winter Troll Fishery Late Winter Troll Fishery Spring Troll Fishery Summer Troll Fishery, First Retention Period Summer Troll Fishery, Second Retention Period Ketchikan Area Sport Fishery Petersburg-Wrangell Area Sport Fishery Northern Inside Area Sport Fishery Outside Area Sport Fishery	111 12 12 12 13 13 13 14 14 14 14 15
DISCUSSION	15
Intra-Annual Variability Temporal Variability Spatial Variability	15
Interannual Trends	
CONCLUSIONS	19
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
REFERENCES CITED	21
TABLES AND FIGURES	23

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

APPENDIX A: BASELINE POPULATIONS	41
APPENDIX B: ESTIMATED CONTRIBUTION	53

LIST OF TABLES

Page

Page

Table	I	Page
1.	Relationship between populations and reporting groups for Chinook salmon used to report stock composition of Southeast Alaska troll and sport fishery harvests.	24
2.	Sampling goals and numbers of fish sampled from troll-caught Chinook salmon landings at processors at ports in Southeast Alaska for mixed stock analysis, AY 2016.	
3.	Samples collected by quadrant for each seasonal Chinook salmon troll fishery in Southeast Alaska, 2016	26
4.	Sampling goals and numbers of fish sampled from sport fishery harvests of Chinook salmon at ports in Southeast Alaska for use in mixed stock analysis, AY 2016.	
5.	Selection criteria used to generate the Commercial Harvest Expansion Report on the ADF&G Mark, Tag, and Age Laboratory website.	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Pa	age
1.	Location of Southeast Alaska troll fishing quadrants and ports.	
2.	Location of sport fishing ports in Southeast Alaska.	
3.	Heat plot of mean contributions of driver stock reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the troll fishery harvest in Southeast Alaska for the northern quadrant and the seasonal fishery, AY 2016	
4.	Estimated contributions and 90% credibility intervals of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the regionwide (upper) and Northern Outside quadrant (lower) early winter troll fishery harvest in Southeast Alaska, AY 2016.	31
5.	Estimated contributions and 90% credibility intervals of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the regionwide (upper) and Northern Outside quadrant (lower) late winter troll fishery harvest in Southeast Alaska, AY 2016.	
6.	Estimated contributions and 90% credibility intervals of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the spring troll fishery harvest regionwide and in the Northern Outside and Southern Inside quadrants of Southeast Alaska, AY 2016.	
7.	Estimated contributions and 90% credibility intervals of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the regionwide (upper) and Northern Outside quadrant (lower) first retention period of the summer troll fishery harvest in Southeast Alaska, AY 2016.	
8.	Estimated contributions and 90% credibility intervals of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the regionwide (upper) and Northern Outside quadrant (lower) second retention period of the summer troll fishery harvest in Southeast Alaska, AY 2016.	
9.	Heat plot of mean contributions of driver stock reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the sport fishery harvest in Southeast Alaska by area and time period (for the Outside area only), AY 2016.	
10.	Estimated contributions and 90% credibility intervals of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the Ketchikan, Petersburg-Wrangell, Northern Inside (Juneau, Haines, and Skagway) area sport	
11.	fishery harvests in Southeast Alaska, AY 2016 Estimated contributions and 90% credibility intervals of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the Early Season (biweeks 9–13), Late Season (biweeks 14–18), and total season Outside area sport	
12.	fishery harvest in Southeast Alaska, AY 2016 Mean contributions and annual harvest of driver stock reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the annual regionwide troll and sport fishery harvest in Southeast Alaska, AY 2009–2016	

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appe	ndix Page
Ā1.	Location and collection details for each population of Chinook salmon included in the coastwide
	baseline of microsatellite data42
B1.	Estimated contributions of broadscale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the Southeast Alaska
	troll fishery harvest, AY 201654
B2.	Estimated contributions of driver stock reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the Southeast Alaska
	troll fishery harvest by season and quadrant, AY 201656
B3.	Estimated contributions of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the harvest for the early
	winter troll fishery regionwide and in the Northern Outside quadrant of Southeast Alaska, AY 2016 58
B4.	Estimated contributions of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the harvest for the late
	winter troll fishery regionwide and in the Northern Outside quadrant of Southeast Alaska, AY 2016 59
B5.	Estimated contributions of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the harvest for the spring
	troll fishery regionwide and in the Northern Outside and Southern Inside quadrants of Southeast
	Alaska, AY 2016
B6.	Estimated contributions of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the harvest for the first
	retention period of the summer troll fishery regionwide and in the Northern Outside quadrant of
	Southeast Alaska, AY 2016
B7.	Estimated contributions of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the harvest for the second
	retention period of the summer troll fishery regionwide and in the Northern Outside quadrant of
	Southeast Alaska, AY 2016
B8.	Estimated contributions of broadscale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the Southeast Alaska
-	sport fishery harvest, AY 2016
B9.	Estimated contributions of driver stock reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the Southeast Alaska
D 10	sport fishery harvest by area and season, AY 2016
B10.	Estimated contributions of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the sport fishery harvest
D11	in Ketchikan, Petersburg-Wrangell and Northern Inside areas of Southeast Alaska, 2016
B11.	Estimated contributions of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the total season, early
D10	season, and late season sport fishery harvest in outside waters of Southeast Alaska, 2016
B12.	Estimated contributions of driver stock reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the annual Southeast
D12	Alaska troll fishery harvest, AY 2009–2016
B13.	Estimated contributions of driver stock reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the annual Southeast
	Alaska sport fishery harvest, AY 2009–2016

ABSTRACT

Chinook salmon originating from Alaska, British Columbia, and the Pacific Northwest are harvested in the Southeast Alaska (SEAK) commercial troll and sport fisheries. Owing to its mixed stock nature, the overall SEAK Chinook salmon fishery is managed as 1 of 3 such fisheries under provisions of the Pacific Salmon Treaty (PST) Agreement. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game has used genetic mixed stock analysis to estimate the stock composition of Chinook salmon harvests in the SEAK troll and sport fisheries since 2004 based on a genetic baseline developed by the Genetic Analysis of Pacific Salmonids group for use in PST fisheries. This project estimated the relative stock composition of troll and sport fishery harvests from fishery accounting year (AY) 2016 (Oct. 1, 2015-Sept. 30, 2016). The major contributors to the SEAK troll and sport fisheries (from north to south) were the Southeast Alaska/Transboundary River, North/Central British Columbia, West Vancouver, South Thompson, Washington Coast, Interior Columbia River Summer/Fall (Su/F), and Oregon Coast reporting groups. Collectively, these 7 stock aggregates accounted for 90% of the troll harvest and 94% of the sport harvest, and are referred to as driver stocks. The Interior Columbia River Su/F reporting group was the largest contributor to both the troll (39%) and sport (25%) fisheries harvest. Results indicate considerable temporal and spatial variation in the composition of troll and sport harvests in AY 2016, and changes in the relative contributions of driver stocks across years. Stock composition data from this and other stock assessments provide fisheries information including stockspecific run reconstructions and forecasting transboundary river run sizes, determining the origin of SEAK troll fishery catches by age to assist in evaluation of the Pacific Salmon Commission Chinook Model, and estimating some terminal run sizes of stocks in the PST area that drive the SEAK fishery.

Key words: Chinook salmon, Southeast Alaska, troll fishery, sport fishery, mixed stock analysis, genetic, microsatellite, Pacific Salmon Treaty

INTRODUCTION

Chinook salmon *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha* is one of the species of fish most sought after by sport anglers and the commercial troll fishing industry in Southeast Alaska (SEAK). Chinook salmon are harvested in State of Alaska and Federal Exclusive Economic Zone waters east of Cape Suckling and north of Dixon Entrance (Skannes et al. 2016). This area is divided into 4 quadrants for stock assessment purposes: Northern Outside (NO), Northern Inside (NI), Southern Outside (SO), and Southern Inside (SI) for the troll fishery (Figure 1). The sport fisheries predominantly occur around the ports of Juneau, Ketchikan, Sitka, Petersburg, Wrangell, Craig/Klawock, Yakutat, Gustavus, Elfin Cove, Skagway, and Haines (Figure 2). Both the troll and sport fisheries harvest mixed stocks¹ of Chinook salmon, including salmon originating from Alaska, British Columbia (BC), and the Pacific Northwest, and are therefore under the jurisdiction of the Pacific Salmon Treaty (PST). The principles of the PST call for cooperative management and research on fisheries harvesting Chinook salmon from populations in Canada and the U.S., and variable annual Chinook salmon harvest limits to constrain interceptions of Chinook salmon in SEAK and 2 other mixed stock fisheries along the North American coast as per PST Annexes and related Agreements (CTC 2017).

The annual all-gear harvest limit for Chinook salmon in SEAK is specified in Chapter 3, Annex IV of the PST. The majority of the PST harvest limit is allocated to the commercial troll and sport fisheries under State of Alaska management plans (i.e., the purse seine fishery is allocated 4.3% of the harvest, the gillnet fishery is allocated 2.9% of the harvest, and the setnet fishery is allocated 1,000 fish; the remaining portion of the annual harvest limit is allocated 80% to the troll fishery and 20% to the sport fishery). Thus, careful monitoring of the harvest in the troll and

¹ In this report, *population* refers to a locally interbreeding group of salmon that is distinguished by a distinct combination of genetic, phenotypic, life history, and habitat characteristics, and *stock* refers to an aggregation of 1 or more populations that occur in the same geographic area and are managed as a unit. *Reporting groups* refers to an aggregation of one or more stocks that can be identified using genetic mixed stock analysis.

sport fisheries throughout the season is essential to prevent exceeding the annual harvest limit (Pryor et al. 2009; Hagerman et al. 2017). By regulation, legal-sized Chinook salmon in the troll and sport fisheries must be 71 cm (28 inches) or greater in total length (tip of snout to fork of tail).

The annual SEAK troll harvest of Chinook salmon occurs over 3 seasonal fisheries: winter, spring, and summer. The winter fishery occurs from October 11 to April 30 of the following year, or until the guideline harvest level of 45,000 non-Alaska hatchery-produced Chinook salmon is reached. The fishery is split into early winter (October 11-December 31) and late winter (January 1-April 30) components, and the open fishing area is restricted to within the troll boundary of the outer coast surf line. The spring troll fishery (May 1 or earlier, through June 30) is managed to target Chinook salmon produced from SEAK hatcheries, many of which are exempt from the annual harvest limit. The summer troll fishery accounts for the majority of the annual Chinook salmon commercial harvest and is closely monitored and managed to prevent exceeding the troll portion of the annual harvest limit by allowing retention of Chinook salmon during 2 or more periods in most years. The first summer troll fishery opening, beginning July 1 by regulation, allows harvest in the waters of frequent high Chinook salmon abundance and is managed to not exceed 70% of the remaining troll portion of the annual harvest limit. Once the July fishery is closed, Chinook salmon retention by the troll fleet is not allowed unless it is determined that additional openings will not result in exceeding the annual harvest limit. August (and sometimes September) openings are conducted in years when it is determined that the annual harvest limit will not be exceeded. Unlike the first retention period, if additional openings occur, the waters of frequent high Chinook salmon abundance remain closed to troll gear. However, if after 10 days the department determines that the annual harvest limit for troll Chinook salmon may not be reached by September 20 with those waters closed, the waters of frequent high Chinook salmon abundance reopen.

In Accounting Year² (AY) 2016, the troll fishery harvested 276,432 Chinook salmon. The winter fishery harvest was 52,291 fish, of which 29,363 were caught in early winter and 22,928 were caught in late winter. The winter troll fishery closed on March 8—the earliest wintery fishery closure on record. A total of 42,782 fish were harvested in the spring fishery. The total summer fishery harvest was 181,359, of which 106,660 were caught during the first retention period in July, and 74,699 were caught during the second retention period in August and September.

The sport fishery occurs throughout the region, with highest catches around the ports of Sitka, Juneau, Ketchikan, Craig/Klawock, Petersburg, and Wrangell. Chinook salmon are targeted by sport anglers particularly in May and June as mature fish return to inside waters. The objectives of the sport fishery management plan were specified by the Alaska Board of Fisheries in 2000: (1) to manage the sport fishery to attain a harvest of 20% of the all-gear harvest limit after accounting for commercial net harvests; (2) to allow uninterrupted sport fishing in salt waters for Chinook salmon, while not exceeding the sport fishery harvest limit; (3) to minimize regulatory restriction on resident anglers not fishing from a charter vessel; and (4) to provide stability to the sport fishery by eliminating inseason regulatory changes, except those needed for conservation. In 2016, the management plan required a daily bag limit of 3 Chinook salmon for resident anglers during May and June and 2 fish daily as of July 1. The nonresident angler daily bag limit was 6

² The PST accounting year begins with the start of the winter fishery on October 11 of the previous calendar year and ends the following September; e.g., AY 2016 is October 1, 2015, through September 30, 2016.

Chinook salmon during May and June, which was reduced to 3 Chinook salmon as of July 1. In addition, residents were allowed to use 2 rods from October through March. In some designated harvest areas near hatchery release sites, bag and possession limits and annual limits were liberalized to provide increased harvest opportunity on returning Alaska hatchery Chinook salmon. The preliminary 2016 total sport harvest of Chinook salmon was 70,777 (CTC 2017).

The annual PST Chinook salmon harvest limit for SEAK depends on the projected abundance of Chinook salmon forecasted by the Chinook Technical Committee (CTC) using the Pacific Salmon Commission (PSC) Chinook Model (CTC 2017; Skannes et al. 2016). The PSC Chinook Model uses catch, escapement, coded wire tag (CWT) recovery, and recruitment information to forecast relative abundance of stocks in PST fisheries. Relative stock proportion information is an important component of the PSC Chinook Model, and currently CWT data are used for this purpose. However, reliance on stock composition estimates solely from CWT data can be problematic because CWTs are only applied to a subset of indicator stocks contributing to the fishery—most are hatchery stocks intended to represent wild stocks, and resulting escapement and terminal run size estimates are often not available or are poorly determined for many stocks outside of SEAK. Genetic mixed stock analysis (MSA) provides a complementary set of stock composition estimates for major contributors to the fishery.

Genetic MSA has been used extensively to estimate the contribution of genetic aggregates of Chinook salmon to mixed stock fisheries occurring throughout the PST area (unpublished data;³ Hess et al. 2011; Templin et al. 2011; Beacham et al. 2012). This method uses the genetic variation in allele frequencies at multiple loci among populations (baseline) to estimate the contribution of each stock to a mixture given the multilocus genotypes of fish in the mixture. Since 1999, the State of Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) has used MSA based on coastwide baselines (allozymes, Teel et al. 1999; microsatellites, Seeb et al. 2007) to estimate the composition of Chinook salmon harvested in the commercial troll fishery (Crane et al. 2000; Templin et al. 2011; Gilk-Baumer et al. 2013, 2017a, 2017b, *In prep*).

Genetic MSA is possible for PST fisheries due to the CTC-funded Genetic Analysis of Pacific Salmonids (GAPS) project, a cooperative project among 10 laboratories with the goal of developing a standardized DNA baseline for stock identification of Chinook salmon.⁴ This process began in 2002, and a standardized baseline was available during the summer of 2005 (Seeb et al. 2007). The baseline can be used to identify 44 reporting groups in mixtures with acceptable accuracy and precision (Seeb et al. 2007). For the SEAK fisheries, the 44 reporting groups were combined into 26 reporting groups based on management needs and stock presence (Table 1). This baseline continues to be improved through the addition of populations; the current baseline (version 3.0) contains allele frequencies from 357 populations contributing to PST fisheries, ranging from the Situk River in Alaska to the Central Valley of California (Appendix A1).

³ Blankenship, S., K. I. Warheit, J. Von Bargen, and D. A. Milward. Genetic stock identification determines inter-annual variation in stock composition for legal and sub-legal Chinook captured in the Washington Area-2 non-treaty troll fishery. Unpublished Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife molecular genetics laboratory report submitted to the Pacific Salmon Commission-Chinook Technical Committee, 2007.

⁴ Moran, P., M. Banks, T. D. Beacham, C. Garza, S. Narum, M. Powell, L. W. Seeb, R. L. Wilmot, and S. Young. Genetic analysis of Pacific salmonids (GAPS): Development of a standardized microsatellite DNA database for stock identification of Chinook salmon. Chinook funding proposal submitted to the US Chinook Technical Committee for funding under the budget increment associated with the US Letter of Agreement, 2004.

The expectation behind investment in genetic capabilities was that genetic MSA could be integrated into a coordinated coastwide management system, the subject of workshops held by the PSC (PSC 2008). One conclusion at the workshop was that an important advantage of genetic MSA (over CWT-based methods) is the complete coverage of all stocks and all individuals in the stocks (PSC 2008). Coded wire tags have been used for cohort analysis of individual release groups and are an integral part of the PSC Chinook Model. However, CWT-based assessments assume that the release of juvenile Chinook salmon with a CWT (usually of hatchery origin) will provide valid surrogates for a wild Chinook salmon stock of interest. Often this critical assumption is unverified and multiple studies have demonstrated that hatchery-origin fish mature and survive at rates different than their wild counterparts due to differences in growth rates, release locations, and release sizes (CTC 2015; Peterson et al. 2016). On the other hand, CWT methods are one of the only ways of detecting and estimating stocks of Chinook salmon that are minor contributors to a fishery; the numeric tags minimize the problem of misclassification and more catch is sampled for CWTs on a coastwide basis (~20%) to recover these tags. By contrast, genetic MSA is best suited for estimating contributions of major stocks, i.e., those making up relatively large proportions (\geq 5%) of the sample, but MSA cannot currently differentiate between hatchery and wild stocks representing the same brood source and does not include age information. While both MSA and CWT assessments are capable of providing stock composition estimates of harvest, the combination of the 2 methods is expected to be more useful.

Stocks of Chinook salmon originating from streams and hatcheries along the Southeast Alaska, Northern/Central British Columbia, West Vancouver Island, Washington, and Oregon coasts, and in the South Thompson and Upper Columbia⁵ rivers, consistently contribute more than 5% to the troll and sport harvest in SEAK, and consequently are important stocks that help drive harvest allocations under the PST (Table 1; CTC 2017). Collectively these 7 aggregate stocks make up a large proportion (typically >90%; Gilk-Baumer et al. 2017a, *In prep*) of all Chinook salmon annually harvested in SEAK troll and sport fisheries, and thus genetic MSA is the preferred method for providing accurate and precise stock composition estimates for these driver stocks in SEAK fisheries (PSC 2008).

The information reported herein are the results of genetic MSA based on the most recent standardized baseline of microsatellites (GAPS version 3.0) to provide independent estimates of the stock composition of Chinook salmon harvested in the SEAK troll and sport fisheries in AY 2016. Results focus primarily on the 7 driver stocks important for SEAK fisheries managed under the PST, although information at broader and finer scales is also provided for context.

OBJECTIVES

The goal of this genetic MSA program was to estimate the stock composition of Chinook salmon harvested in SEAK commercial troll and sport fisheries during AY 2016. Project objectives were as follows.

1. Sample Chinook salmon from the SEAK troll and sport fishery harvests in a representative manner to provide stock composition estimates of the harvest within 5% of the true value 90% of the time.

⁵ All summer and fall Chinook salmon transiting Bonneville Dam from June 1 through November 15, 2016, destined for areas above McNary Dam and the Deschutes River.

- 2. Survey Chinook salmon sampled from the SEAK troll and sport fisheries for individual genotypes at the 13 microsatellite loci in the coastwide baseline (GAPS version 3.0).
- 3. Estimate the relative contribution of 26 fine-scale reporting groups for the following troll fisheries in AY 2016:
 - a. Early winter (October–December) and late winter (January–April) troll fisheries in the NO quadrant and across all quadrants.
 - b. Spring troll fisheries (May–June) with separate estimates for Chinook salmon harvested in the NO, NI, and SI quadrants.
 - c. Summer troll fisheries (July–September) with separate estimates for the first Chinook salmon opening and subsequent openings combined for Chinook salmon harvested across all quadrants and in the NO quadrant alone.
- 4. Estimate the relative contribution of 26 fine-scale reporting groups to SEAK sport fisheries in the following areas and time periods in AY 2016:
 - a. Ketchikan, total season estimate.
 - b. Petersburg-Wrangell, total season estimate.
 - c. Northern Inside (ports of Juneau, Haines, and Skagway), total season estimate.
 - d. Outside (ports of Craig/Klawock, Sitka, Yakutat, Elfin Cove, and Gustavus).
 - i. Early season estimate (through biweek 6 13).
 - ii. Late season estimate (after biweek 13).
 - iii. Total season estimate.

METHODS

FISHERY SAMPLING

The standard for precision and accuracy used by ADF&G for genetic MSA is to estimate a stock's proportional contribution within 5% of the true value 90% of the time (Seeb et al. 2000). A sample size of 400 individuals will provide estimates with the target level of precision under the worst-case scenario (3 stocks contributing equal proportions; Thompson 1987) and the department applies this standard when developing sampling programs for MSA. However, sample sizes for some strata may not meet this target size due to some combination of harvest numbers and/or sampling success. In cases where sample sizes are less than 400 and reduced precision is acceptable, estimates based on smaller sample sizes may be appropriate to inform PST-related questions. Sample sizes of 200 fish provide estimates within approximately 7% of the true value 90% of the time (Thompson 1987). Reducing sample sizes below this threshold rapidly increases uncertainty, so when strata are represented by between 100 and 199 samples, estimates are only reported for broadscale reporting groups to compensate (JTC 1997). Uncertainty associated with genetic MSA results from sample sizes below 100 fish is considered too high to provide useful information.

⁶ Sport biweeks run from Monday through Sunday, with biweek 1 beginning January 1 and biweek 2 beginning on the third Monday of the year. All biweeks except the first and last of the year are exactly 14 days long. Biweek calendars for each year are available at <u>https://mtalab.adfg.alaska.gov/CWT/reports/sbp_calendar.aspx?value=biweek (Accessed December 22, 2017).</u>

Troll Fishery

Sample sizes were set to target a minimum 400 samples per stratum for the following 11 troll fishery strata:

- 1. Early winter fishery (October–December)
 - a. NO quadrant
 - b. Regionwide
- 2. Late winter fishery (January–April)
 - a. NO quadrant
 - b. Regionwide
- 3. Spring fishery (April–June)
 - a. NO quadrant
 - b. NI quadrant
 - c. SI quadrant
- 4. Summer fishery (July–September)
 - a. First retention period (July)
 - i. NO quadrant
 - ii. Regionwide
 - b. Second and subsequent retention periods (August-September)
 - i. NO quadrant
 - ii. Regionwide

When necessary, sample goals were moved between ports within a stratum to achieve minimum sample sizes for some strata (Table 2). Sample sizes in the NO quadrant were set so that stock contributions to the harvest in this quadrant could be estimated for each of the time periods in addition to an all-quadrant estimate. Goals varied among ports depending on expectations for deliveries (processor availability), availability of port samplers, and the vagaries of each seasonal fishery.

Details regarding port sampling procedures are outlined in Buettner et al. (2017). In short, Chinook salmon were targeted for sampling from landings at processors at various SEAK ports (Table 2; Table 3; Figure 1). Fish were selected for sampling without regard to size, sex, presence of an adipose fin, or position in the vessel hold or tote; sampling was conducted in such a manner to be as representative as possible of that week's commercial catch. Axillary processes (the modified and elongated structure found at the anterior base of the pelvic fin) were excised from each fish and dried on Whatman paper. Troll fishermen were interviewed to determine the quadrant (NO, NI, SO, or SI) from which the Chinook salmon were harvested. At the end of the season, samples were shipped air cargo back to the ADF&G Gene Conservation Laboratory in Anchorage for analysis. Associated data were archived as part of the age-sex-length database maintained by ADF&G.

Sport Fishery

Sample sizes were set to target a minimum 400 samples per stratum for the following 6 sport fishery strata, with the intention of representing harvest by biweek at each port:

- 1. Ketchikan, total season.
- 2. Petersburg and Wrangell, total season.
- 3. Northern Inside (Juneau, Haines, Skagway), total season.

- 4. Outside (Craig/Klawock, Sitka, Yakutat, Elfin Cove, Gustavus):
 - a. Early season.
 - b. Late season.
 - c. Total season.

Chinook salmon were collected from boats exiting the sport fishery at major boat harbors and boat ramps at each of the ports selected for surveying (Table 4; Figure 2). Sampling design and sampling details for each port are described in Jaenicke et al. (2015). A tissue section was dissected from the axillary process of each sampled Chinook salmon and dried on Whatman paper. Fishermen were interviewed to determine the creel port from which the Chinook salmon were harvested. At the end of the season, samples were shipped back to the ADF&G Gene Conservation Laboratory in Anchorage for analysis. Associated data were archived as part of an age-sex-length database maintained by ADF&G Division of Sport Fish.

MIXED STOCK ANALYSIS

Laboratory Analysis

Samples were assayed for 13 microsatellite loci developed by the GAPS group for use in PST fisheries (CTC standardized baseline loci; Seeb et al. 2007). Genomic DNA was extracted from tissue samples using a NucleoSpin 96 Tissue Kit by Macherey-Nagel (Düren, Germany). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was carried out in 10 ul reaction volumes (10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM KCl, 0.2 mM each dNTP, 0.5 units Taq DNA polymerase [Promega, Madison, WI]) using an Applied Biosystems (AB; Foster City CA) thermocycler. Primer concentrations, MgCl₂ concentrations, and the corresponding annealing temperature for each primer are available in Seeb et al. 2007. PCR fragment analysis was done on an AB 3730 capillary DNA sequencer. A 96-well reaction plate was loaded with 0.5 ul PCR product along with 0.5 ul of GS500LIZ (AB) internal lane size standard and 9.0 ul of Hi-Di (AB). PCR bands were visualized and separated into bin sets using AB GeneMapper software v4.0. All laboratory analyses followed protocols accepted by the CTC.

Genetic data were collected as individual multilocus genotypes. According to the convention implemented by the CTC, at each locus a standardized allele is one that has a recognized holotype specimen from which the standardized allele can be reproduced using commonly applied fragment analysis techniques. By the process of sizing the alleles from the holotype specimens, any individual laboratory should be able to convert allele sizes obtained in the ADF&G laboratory to standardized allele names. Genotype data were stored as GeneMapper (*.fsa) files on a network drive that was backed up nightly. Long-term storage of the data was in an *Oracle* database (*LOKI*) on a network drive maintained by ADF&G computer services.

Several measures were implemented to ensure the quality of data produced. First, each individual tissue sample was assigned a unique accession identifier. At the time DNA was extracted or analyzed from each sample, a sample sheet was created that linked each individual sample's code to a specific well number in a uniquely numbered 96-well plate. This sample sheet then followed the sample through all phases of the project, minimizing the risk of misidentification of samples through human-induced errors. Second, genotypes were assigned to individuals using a system in which 2 people score the genotype data independently. Discrepancies between the 2 sets of scores were then resolved with 1 of 2 possible outcomes: 1 score was accepted and the other rejected, or both scores were rejected and no score was retained. Lastly, 8 samples from

each 96-well DNA extraction plate were reanalyzed for all loci. This enabled detection and correction of laboratory mistakes and also allowed for estimation of genotyping error rates. Error rates were calculated as the number of conflicting genotypes, divided by the total number of genotypes examined.

Statistical Analysis

Troll Fishery Mixture Subsampling

Representative mixtures of individuals for MSA were created by subsampling individuals from the collected tissue samples in proportion to harvest by quadrant. The harvest of Chinook salmon in each quadrant for a given troll fishery opening was obtained from the ADF&G Mark, Tag, and Age Laboratory website (https://mtalab.adfg.alaska.gov/CWT/reports/default.aspx) using the criteria in Table 5. The relative proportion of the total period harvest that was caught in each quadrant was then calculated for each fishery opening.

Eleven mixtures were necessary to generate stock composition estimates for the strata described above. For each fishery/quadrant stratum, individual samples were randomly selected from the entire set of samples. When a stratum was made up of multiple quadrants, individual samples were randomly selected from the entire set of samples in proportion to harvest in each quadrant. For regionwide (all quadrant) estimates, separate mixtures were made to estimate stock contributions for both the NO quadrant and all other quadrants combined. These separate estimates were then pooled into regionwide estimates by weighting by harvest (Templin et al. 2011). When sufficient samples were available, the target sample size for each mixture was 400. When fewer than 400 individuals were available, the maximum number of available samples was used with a minimum sample size of 100 fish. Estimates were generated for samples of 100–199 fish, but only for the broadscale reporting groups outlined in Table 1. No estimates were generated for sample sizes less than 100.

Sport Fishery Mixture Subsampling

Representative mixtures of individuals for MSA were created by subsampling individuals from the collected tissue samples in proportion to harvest by time and sample location (e.g., biweek and port). The inseason estimated Chinook salmon harvest for each biweek and port for a given fishing area was obtained from onsite sampling of sport harvested Chinook salmon by the Division of Sport Fish Southeast Alaska Marine Harvest Studies program (Wendt and Jaenicke 2011; Jaenicke et al. 2015). The total harvest for each port is estimated by the annual Division of Sport Fish Statewide Harvest Survey mailout (Jennings et al. 2015; Romberg and Jennings 2013), which can be downloaded at <u>http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/sf/sportfishingsurvey/</u>. The relative proportion of the total harvest that was caught during each biweek and in each port was then calculated for each fishing area.

A total of 5 mixtures were necessary to generate stock composition estimates for the 6 sport fishery strata described above. For each time period/port stratum, individual samples were randomly selected from the entire set of samples from that biweek and port. When a stratum was made up of multiple time periods or ports, individual samples were randomly selected in proportion to harvest in each period or port. For the total season estimate for Outside ports, separate mixtures were made to estimate stock contributions for the early (through biweek 13) and late (after biweek 13) periods. These estimates were then pooled into total season estimates by weighting by harvest for each time period's harvest. When sufficient samples were available,

the target sample size for each mixture was capped at 400. When the available samples from a given biweek and/or port were fewer than needed to adequately represent the quadrant in a mixture of 400, the total sample size was reduced to the point where each biweek and port was represented in the proportional to harvest. When fewer than 400 individuals were available for sport fishery estimates, a minimum sample of 200 fish was used and there was no weighting for harvest.

BAYES Analysis

The stock composition of fishery mixtures were estimated using the program BAYES (Pella and Masuda 2001). The Bayesian method of MSA is used to estimate the proportion of stocks caught within each fishery using 4 pieces of information: (1) a baseline of allele frequencies for each population, (2) the grouping of populations into the reporting groups desired for MSA, (3) prior information about the stock proportions of the fishery, and (4) the genotypes of fish sampled from the fishery.

The baseline of allele frequencies for Chinook salmon populations was obtained from the GAPS database (http://www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/research/divisions/cb/genetics/standardization.cfm). Results from 100% proof tests indicate that the fine-scale reporting groups used herein can be identified in mixtures with a 91% correct allocation or better (Gilk-Baumer et al. 2017b).

The choice of prior information about stock proportions in a fishery (the prior probability distribution hereafter referred to as the *prior*) is important for increasing MSA accuracy (Habicht et al. 2012a). In this analysis, the estimated stock proportions from the previous year in a given stratum were used as the prior for that stratum (i.e., 2015 estimates were used as prior parameters when generating 2016 estimates). The prior information about stock proportions was incorporated in the form of a Dirichlet probability distribution. The sum of all prior parameters was set to 1 (prior weight), which is equivalent to adding 1 fish to each mixture (Pella and Masuda 2001).

For each fishery mixture, 5 independent Markov Chain Monte Carlo chains of 40,000 iterations were run with different starting values and the first 20,000 iterations were discarded to remove the influence of the start values. We assessed the within- and among-chain convergence of estimates using the Raftery-Lewis (within-chain) and Gelman-Rubin (among-chain) diagnostics. These values measure the convergence of each chain to stable estimates (Raftery and Lewis 1996) as well as measure the variation of estimates within a chain to the total variation among chains (Gelman and Rubin 1992), respectively. If a Gleman-Rubin diagnostic for any stock group in a mixture was greater than 1.2, the mixture was reanalyzed with 80,000 iterations. If a mixture still had a diagnostic greater than 1.2 after the reanalysis, results from the 5 chains were averaged and a note was made in the results. We combined the second half of the 5 chains to form the posterior distribution and tabulated mean estimates, 90% credibility intervals, and standard deviations from a total of 100,000 iterations. In addition, we report the marginal median of the posterior distribution as a measure of central tendency for stock proportions (Pella and Masuda 2001). Misallocations to reporting groups that are either absent or at low proportions within mixtures can occur in MSA when the discriminant methods do not produce perfect identifiability (Pella and Milner 1987; Pella and Masuda 2001). Previous work has shown that the posterior distribution of these misallocations can be highly skewed and the mean is much more sensitive to extreme values than the median (e.g., Habicht et al. 2012b). Both means and medians are reported in appendix tables and means are reported in figures and in the text.

For regionwide estimates for the winter and summer troll fisheries, estimates from the NO quadrant and all other quadrants combined were pooled into total area estimates by weighting each quadrant's estimate by their respective harvests (stratified estimator). Similarly, for sport fishery total season estimates from the Outside area, early season and late season estimates were pooled into yearly estimates by weighting each season's estimate by their respective harvest proportions (stratified estimator). This analysis is described in detail in Templin et al. (2011).

In order to better describe annual trends across a longer time frame for those stocks that make up the largest proportion of harvest in SEAK Chinook salmon fisheries (i.e., the driver stocks), the 26 fine-scale reporting groups were condensed into 8 reporting groups that consisted of 7 driver stocks and an *Other* group (Table 1). Where possible, these reporting groups were aligned with stock groups used by the CTC for the PSC Chinook Model, and these groups perform well in genetic MSA. Further, the fine-scale groups were combined into 4 broadscale reporting groups for describing trends on a large geographic scale (Table 1). When reporting groups were combined, credibility intervals were calculated from the raw BAYES output using the new groupings in order to accurately reflect uncertainty in the estimates.

These reporting groups are large and in some situations do not provide the desired resolution. To enable accurate and precise investigation at a finer scale and to improve visualization of results, proportional contributions are also provided graphically for a subset of the fine-scale reporting groups estimated to consistently contribute at least 5% to the harvest in at least 1 seasonal fishery per year. Again, all other stocks are included in an additional *Other* group, and credibility intervals were calculated from the raw BAYES output using the new groupings.

RESULTS

FISHERY SAMPLING

Troll Fishery

A total of 6,193 tissue samples were collected across all seasonal troll fisheries in AY 2016, which exceeds the sampling goal of 4,915. Goals were generally met for all fishery periods, but missed at some ports (Table 2). This was primarily a result of reduced fishing effort or less intensive harvest sampling during some seasonal fisheries.

Sampling of Chinook salmon during the winter fisheries began with the early winter opening on October 11, 2015, and continued until the late winter fishery closed March 8, 2016. The sampling goals for winter fisheries by port are heavily weighted towards Sitka (70%) where the majority of the seasonal harvest occurs (typically 60–65%). A total of 557 samples (goal: 545) were collected from the early winter troll fisheries and 576 samples (goal: 550) were collected from the late winter troll fisheries. Goals were met for every port in the early winter and in the late winter except for Ketchikan.

Sampling of Chinook salmon during the spring troll fishery occurred between April and June. Sample goals were met for every port except Yakutat (Table 2). The sample size was only 186 from the NI quadrant; therefore, estimates were only generated to the 4 broadscale reporting groups (Table 1).

Sampling of Chinook salmon during the first retention period of the summer troll fishery occurred July 1–5. Sample goals were met for every port except Yakutat, Juneau, Ketchikan, and Port Alexander, and exceeded in Craig, Elfin Cove, Petersburg, Pelican, and Wrangell (Table 2).

The total sample size of 1,710 was sufficient to generate estimates to the fine-scale reporting groups for both the NO quadrant and regionwide strata.

Sampling of Chinook salmon during the second retention period of the summer troll fishery occurred from August 13 to September 3. Sample goals were met in all ports except Port Alexander, Wrangell, and Yakutat, and exceeded in Craig, Elfin Cove, Ketchikan, Pelican, Petersburg, and Sitka. The total sample size of 1,632 was sufficient to generate estimates to the fine-scale reporting groups for both the NO quadrant and regionwide strata.

Sport Fishery

Sampling of Chinook salmon from SEAK sport fisheries began in April and ended in September. A total of 4,499 tissue samples (goal: 4,075) were collected across 6 months of the sport fishing season in 2016. Goals were generally met for most ports (Table 4). Reduced fishing effort, harvest sampling rates, and in some cases, poor Chinook salmon abundance were primary reasons for not attaining sampling goals.

In Ketchikan, the total sample size of 799 exceeded the goal of 600. This sample size was sufficient to generate estimates to the fine-scale reporting groups for the Ketchikan area.

A total of 321 samples (goal: 450) were collected from Petersburg and 136 samples (goal: 200) were collected from Wrangell (Table 4). The combined total of 457 tissues was sufficient to generate estimates to the fine-scale reporting groups for the Petersburg-Wrangell area.

The sampling goals for Northern Inside fisheries by port are heavily weighted towards Juneau (95%) where the vast majority of the fishing effort is concentrated. The total sample size of 324 was below the sampling goal of 635, but was sufficient to generate estimates to the fine-scale reporting groups. No samples were taken in Haines or Skagway due to reduced fishing in AY 2016.

For Outside fisheries, a total of 1,993 samples (goal: 1,375) were collected from biweeks 9–13 and 926 samples (goal: 815) were collected from biweeks 14–18 (Table 4). Sample goals were met or exceeded for every port except Yakutat (biweeks 9–13) and Yakutat and Sitka (biweeks 14–18).

MIXED STOCK ANALYSIS

Laboratory Analysis

Quality control demonstrated a low error rate for all samples analyzed. A total of 690 fish were examined for quality control, or 8,970 genotype comparisons. The discrepancy rate was 0.10% over all projects. This translates to an estimated error rate of 0.05%.

Statistical Analysis

Early Winter Troll Fishery

For broadscale reporting groups, the *US South* group (stocks originating from California, Oregon and Washington) was the highest contributor during the regionwide early winter troll fishery in AY 2016 (54%), followed by the *Canada* (33%) and *Alaska* (12%) groups. The *Transboundary* (*TBR*) group had a low contribution (<1%; Appendix B1).

For driver stock reporting groups, the largest contributor to the regionwide early winter troll fishery was the *Interior Columbia River Su/F* group (41%), followed by the *Other* (21%),

North/Central British Columbia (NCBC; 20%), and SEAK/TBR (13%) groups (Figure 3; Appendix B2).

For the fine-scale reporting groups, the largest contributors to the regionwide early winter troll fishery were the *Interior Columbia Su/F* (41%), *BC Coast/Haida Gwaii* (18%), *S Southeast Alaska* (9%), and *East Vancouver* (9%) groups (Figure 4; Appendix B3).

When considering harvest from the NO quadrant only, the contributions for driver stock reporting groups were similar; the *Interior Columbia River Su/F* group was the largest contributor (47%), followed by the *Other* group (21%).

Late Winter Troll Fishery

For broadscale reporting groups, the *Canada* group was the highest contributor during this fishery (48%), followed by the *US South* (41%) and *Alaska* (10%) groups. The *TBR* group had a low contribution (<1%; Appendix B1).

For driver stock reporting groups, the largest contributor to the regionwide late winter troll fishery was the *Other* group (25%), followed by the *Interior Columbia Su/F* (22%), *NCBC* (21%), *West Vancouver* (19%), and *SEAK/TBR* (11%) groups (Figure 3; Appendix B2).

For the fine-scale reporting groups, the largest contributor to the regionwide late winter troll fishery was the *Interior Columbia River Su/F* group (22%), followed by the *West Vancouver* (19%), *BC Coast/Haida Gwaii* (19%), *Willamette Sp* (12%), *S Southeast Alaska* (8%), and *East Vancouver* (6%) groups (Figure 5; Appendix B4).

When considering harvest from the NO quadrant only, the contributions for driver stock reporting groups were similar to regionwide estimates, except the *Interior Columbia Su/F* group was the largest contributor (27%), followed closely by the *Other* (26%) group (Figure 3; Appendix B2). The *NCBC* (20%) and *West Vancouver* (18%) groups were also sizeable contributors.

Spring Troll Fishery

During the spring troll fisheries, contributions of the broadscale reporting groups were highly variable across the 4 quadrants analyzed. In the NO quadrant, the *Canada* group was the highest contributor (42%), followed by the *US South* (34%) and *Alaska* groups (23%; Appendix B1). In the NI quadrant, the *Canada* group was the highest contributor (43%), followed by the *Alaska* (32%) and the *TBR* (15%) groups. In the SO quadrant, the *Canada* group contributed the majority of the harvest (62%), followed by the *Alaska* (22%) and the *US South* (15%) groups. Conversely, in the SI quadrant the *Alaska* group contributed the majority of the harvest (53%), followed by the *Canada* (29%) and *US South* (15%) groups. The *TBR* group had a low contribution (range: <1–3%) across all quadrants except the NI quadrant (15%).

For the driver stock reporting groups, contributions were also variable amongst quadrants during the spring troll fisheries. The largest contributor to the NO quadrant harvest was the *SEAK/TBR* group (25%), followed by the *Interior Columbia Su/F* (23%), *West Vancouver* (20%), *NCBC* (13%), and *Other* (13%) groups (Figure 3; Appendix B2). In the SI quadrant, the largest contributor was also the *SEAK/TBR* group (56%), followed by the *NCBC* (16%) and *Interior Columbia Su/F* (9%) groups.

For the fine-scale reporting groups, similar variability between quadrants was observed. In the NO quadrant, the highest proportion of Chinook salmon was from the *Interior Columbia Su/F*

group (23%), followed by the *West Vancouver* (20%) group (Figure 6; Appendix B5). The *Alaska* component was largely composed of the *Andrew* group (17%), which includes production from the 5 hatcheries that use Andrew Creek broodstock. The *Canada* group contribution was dominated by *West Vancouver* (20%), followed by the *BC Coast/Haida Gwaii* (12%) group. In the SI quadrant, the *Alaska* group was the largest contributor with harvests dominated by the *S Southeast Alaska* group (37%), followed by the *Andrew* (17%) group. The *BC Coast/Haida Gwaii* group was the next highest contributor (15%).

In the NI and SO quadrants, estimates are not available for either the driver stock reporting groups or 26 fine-scale reporting groups because sample sizes were insufficient for meeting the accuracy and precision standards.

Summer Troll Fishery, First Retention Period

For broadscale reporting groups during the first retention period of the summer troll fishery, the *US South* reporting group accounted for the vast majority of the regionwide harvest (77%), followed by the *Canada* (21%) group. The *Alaska* group had a low contribution (<3%) and the *TBR* group had an even lower contribution (<1%; Appendix B1).

For driver stock reporting groups, the greatest contributor to the regionwide harvest during the first retention of the summer troll fishery was the *Interior Columbia Su/F* group (45%), followed by the *Oregon Coast* (18%) and *South Thompson* (13%) groups (Figure 3; Appendix B2).

For the fine-scale reporting groups, the first retention period of the summer troll fishery was dominated by the *Interior Columbia Su/F* group (45%), followed by the *North Oregon Coast* (16%) and *South Thompson* (13%) groups. The *Washington Coast* and *Lower Columbia F* groups contributed approximately equal proportions (~6%) to the regionwide harvest (Figure 7; Appendix B6).

Stock composition in the NO quadrant during the first retention period was similar to estimates for the entire area at the driver stock level of reporting groups, with harvests dominated by the *Interior Columbia (Su/F)* group (47%; Figure 3; Appendix B2). The *Oregon Coast* (19%), *South Thompson* (11%), *Other* (8%), and *Washington Coast* (7%) groups were also substantial contributors.

Summer Troll Fishery, Second Retention Period

For broadscale reporting groups during the second retention period of the summer troll fishery, the *US South* group accounted for the vast majority of the harvest (77%), followed by the *Canada* (19%) group. The *Alaska* group had a low contribution (<4%) and the *TBR* group had no discernable contribution (<0.001%; Appendix B1).

For driver stock reporting groups, the greatest contributor to the regionwide harvest during the second retention of the summer troll fishery was the *Interior Columbia Su/F* group (48%), followed by the *Oregon Coast* (18%), *West Vancouver* (9%), and *Washington Coast* (8%) groups (Figure 3; Appendix B2).

For the fine-scale reporting groups, the second retention period of the summer troll fishery was dominated by the *Interior Columbia Su/F* group (48%), followed by the *North Oregon Coast* (16%), *West Vancouver* (9%), *Washington Coast* (8%), and *South Thompson* (5%) groups. All other individual reporting groups contributed less than 3% (Figure 8; Appendix B7).

Stock composition in the NO quadrant during the second retention period was similar to estimates for the entire area at the driver stock level of reporting groups, with harvests dominated by the *Interior Columbia Su/F* group (50%; Figure 3; Appendix B2). Also important were the *Oregon Coast* (15%), *West Vancouver* (10%), *Washington Coast* (9%), and *South Thompson* (6%) groups.

Ketchikan Area Sport Fishery

For broadscale reporting groups, the *Alaska* reporting group accounted for the majority of the Ketchikan area sport fishery harvest (56%), followed by the *Canada* (33%) and *US South* (11%) groups. The *TBR* group had a low contribution (<1%; Appendix B8).

For driver stock reporting groups, the greatest contributor to the Ketchikan area sport fishery harvest was the *SEAK/TBR* reporting group (57%), followed by the *NCBC* (15%), *South Thompson* (9%), and *West Vancouver* (8%) groups (Figure 9; Appendix B9).

Stock contribution in the Ketchikan area sport fishery harvest for the fine-scale reporting groups was dominated by the *S Southeast Alaska* group (56%; Figure 10; Appendix B10). The *South Thompson* and *BC Coast/Haida Gwaii* groups contributed equal proportions (~9%), followed by the *West Vancouver* (8%) and *Interior Columbia Su/F* (7%) groups. No other stocks were present at greater than 5% in this fishery.

Petersburg-Wrangell Area Sport Fishery

For broadscale reporting groups, the *Alaska* reporting group was the largest contributor to the Petersburg-Wrangell area sport fishery harvest (49%), followed by the *Canada* (26%) and *TBR* (24%) groups. The *US South* aggregate had a low contribution (<2%; Appendix B8).

For driver stock reporting groups, the greatest contributor to the Petersburg-Wrangell area sport fishery harvest was the *SEAK/TBR* group (73%), followed by the *NCBC* (22%) group (Figure 9; Appendix B9).

The largest contributor among the fine-scale reporting groups to the sport fishery harvest in the Petersburg-Wrangell area was the *Andrew* reporting group (33%), which is primarily production from hatcheries that use Andrew Creek broodstock (Figure 10; Appendix B10). Other important contributors were the *Stikine* (16%), *S Southeast Alaska* (15%), *Skeena* (11%), *BC Coast/Haida Gwaii* (11%), and *Taku* (9%) groups. Note that estimated contributions did not converge at 80,000 iterations in BAYES and the results reported herein are an average of the estimates generated from 5 chains.

Northern Inside Area Sport Fishery

For broadscale reporting groups, the *Alaska* group was the largest contributor to the Northern Inside area sport fishery harvest (52%), followed by the *TBR* (33%) and *Canada* (13%) groups. The *US South* aggregate had a low contribution (<3%; Appendix B8).

For driver stock reporting groups, the greatest contributor to the Northern Inside area sport fishery harvest was the *SEAK/TBR* reporting group (85%), followed by the *NCBC* (11%) group (Figure 9; Appendix B9).

Sport fishery harvests in the Northern Inside area at the fine scale were dominated by local stocks (Figure 10; Appendix B10). The largest contributor was the *Andrew* reporting group

(47%), followed by the *Taku* (33%) group. Very few fish from stocks south of Alaska were present with the exception of the *BC Coast/Haida Gwaii* group (7%).

Outside Area Sport Fishery

For broadscale reporting groups, the *US South* reporting group was the largest contributor to the Northern Outside area all-season sport fishery harvest (48%), followed by the *Canada* (45%) and the *Alaska* (6%) groups (Appendix B8). In the early season, the *US South* reporting group was the largest contributor (51%), followed by the *Canada* (41%) and *Alaska* (8%) groups. In the late season, the *Canada* group accounted for the majority of the harvest (56%), followed by the *US South* (41%) group. The *TBR* group had no discernable contribution during any of the time periods analyzed (0%).

For driver stock reporting groups, the greatest contributor to the Outside area sport fishery harvest was the *Interior Columbia Su/F* reporting group (30%), followed by the *West Vancouver* (25%), *South Thompson* (10%), *NCBC* (9%), and *Washington Coast* (6%) groups (Figure 9; Appendix B9).

The largest fine-scale contributor to the sport fishery over the entire season to the Outside area was the *West Vancouver* reporting group (34%), followed by the *Interior Columbia Su/F* (27%) group (Figure 11; Appendix B11). The *Washington Coast* (8%), *BC Coast/Haida Gwaii* (7%), *South Thompson* (7%), and *Skeena* (5%) groups were also notable contributors.

Similar results were obtained when comparing early and late season estimates in the Outside area for the driver stock reporting groups. In the early season, the *Interior Columbia Su/F* reporting group dominated the harvest (32%), followed by the *West Vancouver* (22%), *South Thompson* (11%), *SEAK/TBR* (8%), *NCBC* (7%), and *Oregon Coast* (7%) groups (Figure 9; Appendix B9). During the late season, the *West Vancouver* (35%) and *Interior Columbia Su/F* (26%) groups were the largest contributors, followed by the *NCBC* (13%), *Washington Coast* (8%), and *South Thompson* (7%) groups.

DISCUSSION

Genetic MSA has been successfully used to estimate the composition of the commercial troll fishery harvest since 1999 (e.g., Gilk-Baumer et al. 2013, 2017a, 2017b, *In prep*). Because the 7 aggregate driver stocks make up the vast majority (>90%) of all Chinook salmon annually harvested in SEAK troll and sport fisheries, these stock aggregates influence the harvest allocations under the PST (Gilk-Baumer et al. 2013, 2017a, 2017b, *In prep*). Genetic MSA is the preferred method to provide accurate and precise harvest estimates for these large aggregates of driver stocks. These estimates indicate that the composition of the harvest varies spatially and by seasonal fishery, but essentially the same constituent stocks are present year to year (Gilk-Baumer et al. 2017a, 2017b, *In prep*).

INTRA-ANNUAL VARIABILITY

Temporal Variability

Comparison of the composition of harvests among seasonal troll fisheries in AY 2016 shows considerable variability (Figure 3). The composition of early and late winter fisheries includes a mixture of more stocks than other seasonal fisheries, and the 7 driver stocks account for 79% of the early harvest and 75% of the late harvest. Both winter fisheries were heavily dominated by

the *Columbia Su/F*, *NCBC*, *West Vancouver*, and *SEAK/TBR* driver stocks; other notable contributing reporting groups were *East Vancouver* and *Willamette Sp*. By contrast, during the spring troll fishery, when fishing effort is directed at harvesting SEAK-origin hatchery stocks, the contribution of SEAK-origin Chinook salmon (hatchery-origin plus natural-origin) was 36%, considerably higher than at other times of the year. More than 90% of the spring harvest composition was accounted for by the 7 driver stocks. The harvest composition in the first retention period of the summer troll fishery was heavily dominated by the *Interior Columbia Su/F* (45%), *Oregon Coast* (18%), and *South Thompson* (13%) driver stocks; overall 92% of harvest was contributed by driver stocks. Because the majority of the annual harvest limit was taken during the first retention period of the summer troll fishery was heavily dominated by the *Interior Columbia Su/F* (48%) and *Oregon Coast* (18%) driver stocks, but also had sizeable contributions from the *West Vancouver* (9%) and *Washington Coast* (8%) stocks; 94% of the harvest in the second summer troll retention period is attributable to driver stocks.

Similarly, the stock composition of the Outside area sport fishery harvest also shows seasonal variability (Figure 9). In the early season, the *Interior Columbia Su/F* was the dominant reporting group (32%), followed by the *West Vancouver* (22%) and *South Thompson* (11%) groups. In contrast, the largest contributor to the late season sport fishery was the *West Vancouver* group (35%), followed by the *Interior Columbia Su/F* (26%) and *Washington Coast* (8%) reporting groups. For the early season fishery in AY 2016, 92% of the harvest is attributable to driver stocks, whereas the late season fishery harvest was composed of 95% driver stocks.

Differences in stock composition between troll and sport fisheries may also be due to the timing of the fisheries. In the sport fishery, 95% of the harvests in SEAK occur annually between April and August; by contrast, the troll fishery harvest is spread throughout most of the year. The early season sport fishery tends to harvest a higher proportion of northern stocks than the late season fishery.

Although the 7 driver stocks accounted for the vast majority of the harvests in AY 2016, the proportional contribution of each stock varied across seasons. Interior Columbia Su/F stocks accounted for large proportions of the harvest in all seasonal fisheries in AY 2016 and were particularly large contributors during winter and summer troll fisheries and Outside area sport fisheries (Figure 3; Figure 9). The SEAK/TBR driver stock aggregate was the dominant contributor to spring troll fisheries and present in low proportions for other seasonal fisheries; this reporting group was also more prevalent in early season (biweeks 9-13) than late season (biweeks 14-18) Outside area sport fisheries (Figure 3; Figure 9). The NCBC driver stock aggregate was most pronounced in early winter and late winter troll fisheries and was also a notable contributor to the spring troll fishery; in the Outside area sport fishery it contributed more to early season harvests than to late season harvests. The West Vancouver driver stock was most pronounced in late winter and spring troll fisheries and late season Outside area sport fisheries. Driver stocks originating from the South Thompson, Washington Coast, and Oregon *Coast* contributed substantially to the summer troll fishery particularly in the NO quadrant, but were virtually absent in winter and spring fisheries, and were similarly absent across early and late season Outside area sport fisheries.

Spatial Variability

Variation in stock composition also occurs spatially among the troll fishery quadrants. In general, stock contribution estimates based on samples from the NO quadrant had the most diverse stock compositions and the highest proportion of stocks originating south of Alaska (Figures 4–8). This was most pronounced in the spring fishery where the SI quadrant had the highest proportion of *Alaska* and *TBR* stocks harvested (56%), and the proportion of those stocks in the NO quadrant was 25% (Appendix B1). For winter and summer fisheries, stock contribution estimates based on samples from the NO quadrant were similar to estimates based on samples from all quadrants (Figures 4, 5, 7 and 8). This probably reflects the high proportion of fish harvested in this quadrant relative to the other quadrants.

The stock composition of sport fishery harvests also varies greatly by area (Figure 10). The fisheries located in inside waters (the Northern Inside, Petersburg-Wrangell, and Ketchikan areas) were made up primarily of Alaska and TBR stocks (Figure 10). Local stocks were the major contributors to fisheries in each of these areas, with more northern (Alaska and TBR) stocks present in the Northern Inside fishery, and the prevalence of nonlocal stocks originating from south of the Alaska/Canada border increasing in the more southern areas of Southeast Alaska. The Northern Inside fishery takes place near the ports of Juneau, Haines, and Skagway; these ports are in close proximity to the origin of stocks that make up the N Southeast Alaska and Taku reporting groups. In addition, the Andrew reporting group is the broodstock for many hatchery stocks, including the Macaulay Hatchery located in Juneau. The Andrew (47%) and Taku (33%) reporting groups were the largest contributors to the Northern Inside fishery harvest. The *N Southeast Alaska* accounted for a small share of the harvest (<2%; Figure 10). The largest contributors to the Petersburg-Wrangell area fishery were the local Andrew (33%) and Stikine (16%) reporting groups (Figure 10); moreover, Andrew is the broodstock used in nearby Crystal Lake Hatchery. The largest contributor to the Ketchikan fishery was the S Southeast Alaska reporting group (56%; Figure 10), which includes 14 nearby populations. Very few non-Alaskan or nontransboundary groups were represented in these inside fisheries.

In contrast to inside areas, Chinook salmon sport fishery harvests that took place in the Outside area were made up of a greater variety of stocks with many more fish from non-Alaska reporting groups (Figure 11). This is similar to the spatial pattern of catch composition observed in troll fisheries occurring in outside quadrants (Figure 3; Figure 9). Although the sport fishery is more protracted and occurs closer to shore when compared to each seasonal commercial troll fishery, there is overlap in timing and location with the spring and summer commercial troll fisheries that allows comparison of represented reporting groups. Both the sport fishery and the NO quadrant troll fishery harvest a variety of stocks, and the same reporting groups (SEAK/TBR, NCBC, West Vancouver, South Thompson, Washington Coast, Interior Columbia Su/F, and Oregon Coast) are prevalent in both fisheries. For the Ketchikan area sport fishery and SI quadrant spring troll fishery, the contributions of driver stocks were nearly identical to the broadscale reporting groups in 2016 (Figure 3; Figure 9), although they were made up of different fine-scale reporting groups (Figure 6; Figure 10). By contrast, the NO quadrant spring troll fishery had much higher proportions of northern stock groups than the early season Outside area sport fishery (biweeks 9–13), including the SEAK/TBR (25% troll, 7% sport) and NCBC (13% troll, 7% sport) groups; whereas the sport fishery had higher proportions of southern stock, including the WAC (2% troll, 6% sport), Interior Columbia Su/F (23% troll, 32% sport), and Oregon Coast (3% troll, 7% sport) groups (Appendix B6; Appendix B11).

However, the late season Outside area sport fishery (biweeks 14–18) harvested a higher proportion of fish from northern stocks compared to the NO quadrant summer troll fishery, including the *NCBC* (1% troll, 13% sport) *West Vancouver* (4% troll, 35% sport) groups (Figure 3; Figure 9). The NO quadrant summer troll fishery consistently harvested higher proportions of fish from southern stocks, including the *South Thompson* (11% troll, 7% sport), *Interior Columbia Su/F* (47% troll, 26% sport), and *Oregon Coast* (19% troll, 3% sport) groups. These differences are likely due to sport anglers typically fishing closer to the coastline and commercial troll fishers operating well offshore in some cases.

INTERANNUAL TRENDS

Under the current PST fishing regime, some interesting trends can be observed in the composition of SEAK troll and sport fisheries from both the data reported herein and in similar studies dating back to AY 2009 (Gilk-Baumer et al. 2013, 2017a, 2017b, In prep). When making inferences on the relative contributions of each stock group to the overall harvest by fishery, it is important to note that, on an annual basis, the troll fishery harvests substantially more fish than the sport fishery (Figure 11). In general, the trend across most fisheries in recent years has been an increasing prevalence of Interior Columbia Su/F stocks and a decreasing prevalence of SEAK/TBR stocks (Figure 11; Appendix B12; Appendix B13). This is most pronounced in fisheries occurring in the NO quadrant of the troll fishery and the Outside area of the sport fishery. These trends correspond with an increase in productivity of Interior Columbia Su/F stocks, which accounted for 39% of the total season troll harvest and 25% of the total season sport harvest in AY 2016. This increase was mirrored by a decrease in productivity for SEAK/TBR stocks. The proportion of the N Southeast Alaska stock group in the NI quadrant of the troll fishery and the NI area of the sport fishery across years was much lower than that observed in recent years (Gilk-Baumer et al. 2017a, 2017b, In prep); this corresponds to decreases in escapements, terminal run sizes, and decreased productivity for the constituent stocks (CTC 2017). Similarly, the presence of the S Southeast Alaska reporting group harvested in the SI quadrant of the troll fishery and the Ketchikan area of the sport fishery was notably lower than in recent years (Gilk-Baumer et al. 2017a, 2017b, In prep). This decrease coincides with lower escapements to the Unuk, Keta, Blossom, and Chickamin rivers, and decreased survival of Chinook salmon hatchery stocks in the southern portion of Southeast Alaska. Consequently, additional management actions were taken during the spring troll fishery in AY 2016 in the form of time and area closures to protect these stocks (Hagerman et al. 2017). Stocks originating from West Vancouver contributed a below average proportion to the troll fishery harvest (8%; Appendix B9) and an above average proportion to the sport fishery (21%; Appendix B13) in AY 2016. The contributions from Washington Coast and Oregon Coast stocks remained more consistent from 2009 to 2016 in both troll and sport fisheries, whereas contributions from NCBC and South Thompson were more variable across years with no discernable pattern (Figure 3; Figure 9).

Specific comparisons between analyses using the most recent microsatellite baseline (GAPS version 3.0, Gilk-Baumer et al. 2017a, 2017b, *In prep*), those using older microsatellite baselines (GAPS version 2.2; 2004–2009, Gilk-Baumer et al. 2013) and those using allozyme baselines (1999–2003, Templin et al. 2011) can be made, but they must be interpreted carefully because both the number of populations and reporting groups changed between the studies. Because of these changes in the genetic baselines, comparisons across years prior to 2010 are more reliable at the broad scale than at fine-scale levels.

APPLICATIONS TO PACIFIC SALMON TREATY

These results provide a comprehensive assessment using MSA to estimate the stock composition of Chinook salmon harvested in SEAK troll and sport fisheries. Stock composition data from this program are currently being used in several other studies with a broad array of applications.

- 1. These MSA stock composition estimates have already proven valuable for fishery management in terminal and near-terminal areas and are being used in run reconstructions to generate more accurate stock assessments for transboundary rivers under Chapter One of the PST.
- 2. These MSA stock composition estimates are being combined with individual assignment, otolith mark, CWT, age, and harvest information to provide independent abundance estimates of some PSC Chinook Model stocks to assist in evaluation of the PSC Chinook Model. The current PSC Chinook Model does not reliably determine the composition of the harvest in SEAK because (1) it does not include fish originating from transboundary rivers (i.e., Taku, Stikine, Alsek rivers); (2) only 1 of its 30 model stocks originates from SEAK and it only represents a small proportion of the natural production of SEAK Chinook salmon; and (3) the model is based on "treaty Chinook" which excludes nearly all of the Southeast Alaska hatchery-produced Chinook salmon harvested in SEAK fisheries. For domestic applications, the preferred way to estimate the composition of the SEAK Chinook salmon harvest is to apply fishery stock composition data from MSA to harvest data. This approach has been successfully applied to the SEAK commercial troll fishery from 1999 through 2014 (Templin et al. 2011; Gilk-Baumer et al. 2013, 2017a, 2017b) and SEAK sport fishery from 2004 through 2015 (Gilk-Baumer et al. *In prep*).
- 3. Bernard et al. (2014) investigated using genetic analysis in combination with CWTs to estimate terminal run size of Chinook salmon in 2011 from 4 large stock groups that are major contributors to SEAK troll and sport fisheries: *West Coast Vancouver Island, Washington Coast, North Oregon Coast, and Upper Columbia River Falls.* This driver stock method has proven successful for estimating the terminal run size of several of the stocks that are major contributors to the SEAK fishery and has resulted in an ongoing annual effort.

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The fine-scale reporting groups that contributed the highest proportion of Chinook salmon harvest to the SEAK troll fisheries in AY 2016 from largest to smallest are the *Interior Columbia Su/F*, *North Oregon Coast, West Vancouver, South Thompson, BC Coast/Haida Gwaii, S Southeast Alaska,* and *Washington Coast* reporting groups. Other reporting groups, such as *Andrew* and *Lower Columbia F*, were also major contributors during some of the seasonal fisheries.
- 2. The reporting groups that contributed the highest proportion of harvest to the SEAK sport fishery in 2016 from largest to smallest are the *West Vancouver, Interior Columbia Su/F, S Southeast Alaska, BC Coast/Haida Gwaii, Washington Coast, South Thompson, Skeena,* and *Andrew* reporting groups.
- 3. The 7 driver stocks—*SEAK/TBR*, *NCBC*, *South Thompson*, *West Vancouver*, *Washington Coast*, *Interior Columbia Su/F*, and *Oregon Coast* collectively contributed 90% to the regionwide troll harvest and 94% to the season total sport fishery harvest in AY 2016.

- 4. Stocks from SEAK and the associated transboundary rivers were the largest contributors to the spring troll fishery harvest, particularly in the SI quadrant, and to sport fisheries conducted in SEAK inside waters (Northern Inside, Petersburg-Wrangell, and Ketchikan areas).
- 5. Troll and sport fisheries conducted in outside waters (NO quadrant and Outside area) harvested a greater variety of stocks including those from British Columbia and the Pacific Northwest than fisheries occurring in inside waters.
- 6. Summer and fall-run Chinook salmon originating from the Upper Columbia River were the largest contributors overall to the regionwide total troll fishery harvest and the second largest contributors to the sport fishery harvest in AY 2016.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the following current and former members of the Gene Conservation Laboratory team: Heather Hoyt, Zac Grauvogel, Judy Berger, Erica Chenoweth, Paul Kuriscak, Zach Pechacek, and the many seasonal and borrowed personnel who assisted with extractions. We wish to thank the ADF&G Division of Commercial Fisheries Southeast Alaska port sampling staff: Juneau/Hoonah/Yakutat Port Supervisor Anne Reynolds-Manney, port sampling staff, and Regional Scale Aging lab staff; Petersburg/Wrangell Port Supervisor Jeff Rice and port sampling staff; Ketchikan/Craig Port Supervisor Anna Buettner and port sampling staff; and the Sitka/Pelican/Elfin Cove Port Supervisor Rhea Ehresmann and port sampling staff. We wish to thank the ADF&G Division of Sport Fish Southeast Alaska port sampling staff: Levon Alexander, Katie Ashbaugh, Kirsten Baltz, Nick Bartow, Dan Bennion, John Cooney, April Emmorey, Sharyn Ferrick, Amy Foster, Patrick Fowler, Vera Goudima, Allen Hoffman, Emma Hopkins, Hitsati Hudson, Michael Jaenicke, Emmy Johnson, Jay Kingery, Melissa Kleeman, Bruce Kruger, Adam Lake, Jessica Lombard, Mary Jo Lord-Wild, David Love, Laurinda Marcello, Gabe Melendrez, Mary Meucci, Craig Monaco, Lindsey Noordman, Andrea Pitz, Autumn Redmond, Jeff Swartling, Diana Tersteeg, Jay Van Houten, Hannah Weddle, Madilyn Willard, and Mike Wood. Thanks to Grant Hagerman for input concerning troll management, and to Bob Chadwick concerning sport fishery management. John H. Clark, Chris Habicht, and William Templin provided insightful review and comments.

REFERENCES CITED

- Beacham, T. D., K. Jonsen, and C. Wallace. 2012. A comparison of stock and individual identification for Chinook salmon in British Columbia provided by microsatellites and single-nucleotide polymorphisms. Marine and Coastal Fisheries 4(1):1–22.
- Bernard, D. R., S. Gilk-Baumer, D. Evenson, W. D. Templin, R. L. Peterson, and R. Briscoe. 2014. Feasibility of estimating the 2011 terminal run sizes for Chinook salmon driver stocks harvested in Southeast Alaska troll and sport fisheries. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Manuscript No. 14-09, Anchorage.
- Buettner, A. R., A. M. Reynolds, and J. R. Rice. 2017. Operational Plan: Southeast Alaska and Yakutat salmon commercial port sampling 2016–2019. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Regional Operational Plan ROP.CF.1J.2017.01, Douglas.
- Crane, P. A., W. D. Templin, D. M. Eggers, and L. W. Seeb. 2000. Genetic stock identification of Southeast Alaska Chinook salmon fishery catches. Final Report of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game to US Chinook Technical Committee, US Letter of Agreement Award No. NA87FPO408. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report 5J00-01, Anchorage.
- CTC (Chinook Technical Committee). 2017. Annual report of catch and escapement for 2016. Pacific Salmon Commission Joint Chinook Technical Committee Report TCCHINOOK(17)-2, Vancouver, BC.
- CTC. 2015. 2014 Exploitation rate analysis and model calibration. Pacific Salmon Commission, Report TCCHINOOK (15)-1, Vancouver, BC.
- Gelman, A., and D. B. Rubin. 1992. Inference from iterative simulation using multiple sequences. Statistical Science 7:457–511.
- Gilk-Baumer, S., D. F. Evenson, K. Shedd and W. D. Templin. 2017a. Mixed stock analysis of Chinook salmon harvested in Southeast Alaska commercial troll fisheries, 2015. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 17-41, Anchorage.
- Gilk-Baumer, S., D. F. Evenson, and W. D. Templin. 2017b. Mixed stock analysis of Chinook salmon harvested in Southeast Alaska commercial troll fisheries, 2010–2014. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 17-37, Anchorage.
- Gilk-Baumer, S., W. D. Templin, D. F. Evenson and E. L. Jones. *In prep*. Mixed stock analysis of Chinook salmon harvested in the Southeast Alaska sport fishery, 2004–2015. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series, Anchorage.
- Gilk-Baumer, S., W. D. Templin, and L. W. Seeb. 2013. Mixed stock analysis of Chinook salmon harvested in Southeast Alaska commercial troll fisheries, 2004–2009. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 13-26, Anchorage.
- Habicht, C., W. D. Templin, and J. R. Jasper. 2012a. Western Alaska Salmon Stock Identification Program Technical Document 16: Prior sensitivity using the chum salmon baseline. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report 5J12-23, Anchorage.
- Habicht, C., T. T. Baker, E. L. Chenoweth, T. H. Dann, D. M. Eggers, K. G. Howard, J. R. Jasper, H. L. Liller, A. R. Munro, S. D. R. Olive, and W. D. Templin. 2012b. Harvest and harvest rates of sockeye salmon stocks in fisheries of the Western Alaska Salmon Stock Identification Program (WASSIP), 2006–2008. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Special Publication No. 12-24, Anchorage.
- Hagerman, G., R. Ehresmann, and L. Shaul. 2017. Annual management report for the 2016 Southeast Alaska/Yakutat salmon troll fisheries. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Management Report No. 17-24, Anchorage.
- Hess, J. E., A. P. Matala, and S. R. Narum. 2011. Comparison of SNPs and microsatellites for fine-scale application of genetic stock identification of Chinook salmon in the Columbia River Basin. Molecular Ecology Resources 11(S1):137–149.

REFERENCES CITED (Continued)

- Jaenicke M., D. Tersteeg, and S. J. H. Power. 2015. Southeast Alaska marine boat sport fishery harvest studies, 2015-2016. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Regional Operational Plan SF.1J.2015.06, Anchorage.
- Jennings, G. B., K. Sundet, and A. E. Bingham. 2015. Estimates of participation, catch, and harvest in Alaska sport fisheries during 2011. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 15-04, Anchorage.
- JTC (Joint Technical Committee). 1997. Review of stock identification studies on the Yukon River. The United States and Canada Yukon River Joint Technical Committee, Whitehorse, Yukon Territory. <u>http://www.sf.adfg.state.ak.us/FedAidpdfs/JTC.1997.November.StockID.pdf</u> (Accessed December 26, 2017).
- PSC (Pacific Salmon Commission). 2008. Recommendations for application of genetic stock identification (GSI) methods to management of ocean salmon fisheries: special report of the Genetic Stock Identification Steering Committee and the Pacific Salmon Commission's Committee on Scientific Cooperation. Pacific Salmon Commission Technical Report No. 23.
- Pella, J. J., and G. B. Milner. 1987. Use of genetic marks in stock composition analysis. Pages 247–276 [In] N. Ryman and F. Utter, editors. Population genetics and fisheries management. Washington Sea Grant, University of Washington Press, Seattle WA.
- Pella, J., and M. Masuda. 2001. Bayesian methods for analysis of stock mixtures from genetic characters. Fishery Bulletin 99:151–167.
- Peterson, R. L., R. A. Clark, and D. F. Evenson. 2016. Does the Queets Exploitation Rate Indicator Stock represent the distribution of fishery impacts of Washington coast Chinook salmon stocks in Pacific Salmon Treaty fisheries? Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report 5J16-06, Anchorage.
- Pryor, F., B. Lynch, and P. Skannes. 2009. 2005 Annex: Chinook salmon plan for Southeast Alaska. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Management Report No. 09-29, Anchorage.
- Raftery, A. E., and S. M. Lewis. 1996. Implementing MCMC. Pages 115–130 [*In*] W. R. Gilks, S. Richardson, and D. J. Spiegelhalter, editors. Markov chain Monte Carlo in practice. Chapman and Hall, Inc., London.
- Romberg, W. J., and G. B. Jennings. 2013. Alaska statewide sport fish harvest survey, 2013. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish, Regional Operational Plan ROP.SF.4A.2013.07, Anchorage.
- Seeb, L. W., C. Habicht, W. D. Templin, K. E. Tarbox, R. Z. Davis, L. K. Brannian, and J. E. Seeb. 2000. Genetic diversity of sockeye salmon of Cook Inlet, Alaska, and its application to management of populations affected by the Exxon Valdez oil spill. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 129:1223–1249.
- Seeb, L. W., A. Antonovich, M. Banks, T. Beacham, R. Bellinger, S. Blankenship, M. Campbell, N. DeCovich, J. C. Garza, C. Guthrie, T. Lundrigan, P. Moran, S. Narum, J. Stephenson, J. Supernault, D. Teel, W. D. Templin, J. K. Wenburg, S. Young, and C. T. Smith. 2007. Development of a standardized DNA database for Chinook salmon. Fisheries 32:540–552.
- Skannes, P., G. Hagerman, and L. Shaul. 2016. Annual management report for the 2015 Southeast Alaska/Yakutat salmon troll fisheries. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Management Report No. 16-05, Anchorage.
- Teel, D. J., P. A. Crane, C. M. Guthrie III, A. R. Marshall, D. M. Van Doornik, W. D. Templin, N. V. Varnavskaya, and L. W. Seeb. 1999. Comprehensive allozyme database discriminates Chinook salmon around the Pacific Rim. NPAFC document 440.
- Templin, W. D., J. M. Berger, and L. W. Seeb. 2011. Mixed stock analysis of Chinook salmon harvested in the Southeast Alaska commercial troll fishery, 1999–2003. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Manuscript No. 11-03, Anchorage.
- Thompson, S. K. 1987. Sample size for estimating multinomial proportions. The American Statistician 41:42-46.
- Wendt, K. L., and M. J. Jaenicke. 2011. Harvest and catch statistics for selected marine sport fisheries in Southeast Alaska during 2004. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 11-62, Anchorage.

TABLES AND FIGURES

	Population	Fine-scale	Driver stocks ^a	Broadscale
1	1	Situk	SEAK/TBR	Alaska
2	2–5	Alsek	SEAK/TBR	TBR
3	6–10	N Southeast Alaska	SEAK/TBR	Alaska
4	11-17	Taku	SEAK/TBR	TBR
5	18-21	Andrew	SEAK/TBR	Alaska
6	22-28	Stikine	SEAK/TBR	TBR
7	29-42	S Southeast Alaska	SEAK/TBR	Alaska
8	43-51	Nass	NCBC	Canada
9	52-78	Skeena	NCBC	Canada
10	79–97	BC Coast/Haida Gwaii	NCBC	Canada
11	98-113	West Vancouver	West Vancouver	Canada
12	114-123	East Vancouver	Other	Canada
13	124–157	Fraser	Other	Canada
14	158–166	Lower Thompson	Other	Canada
15	167-172	North Thompson	Other	Canada
16	173-180	South Thompson	South Thompson	Canada
17	181-212	Puget Sound	Other	US South
18	213-223	Washington Coast	Washington Coast	US South
19	224-226	West Cascades Sp	Other	US South
20	227-240	Lower Columbia F	Other	US South
21	241-246	Willamette Sp	Other	US South
22	247-302	Columbia Sp	Other	US South
23	303-320	Interior Columbia Su/F	Interior Columbia Su/F	US South
24	321-331	North Oregon Coast	Oregon Coast	US South
25	332-339	Mid Oregon Coast	Oregon Coast	US South
26	340-357	S Oregon/California	Other	US South

Table 1.–Relationship between populations and reporting groups for Chinook salmon used to report stock composition of Southeast Alaska troll and sport fishery harvests.

Note: Population numbers are listed in Appendix A1. Populations were combined into (1) 26 fine-scale reporting groups, (2) 8 driver stock reporting groups including an *Other* group, and (3) 4 broadscale reporting groups.

^a Driver stocks are aggregate stocks that consistently make up a large proportion (>5%) of all Chinook salmon harvested annually in Southeast Alaska fisheries, and thus are important stocks that help drive catch allocations under the Pacific Salmon Treaty.

Fishery	Period	Port	Quadrants Represented ^a	Sample Goal	Samples Collecter
Winter	Early Winter	Craig	SO, SI, NI	20	26
(October–April)	(Oct 11–Dec 31)	Juneau	NI, NO	30	30
	· · · · · ·	Ketchikan	SI	40	46
		Petersburg	NI, SI	25	25
		Sitka	NO	430	430
		Sitka	NO	545	557
	Late Winter	Craig	SO, SI, NI	50	68
	(Jan 1–Mar 8)	Juneau	NI, NO	30	60
	(Juli 1 Will 0)	Ketchikan	SI	30 80	58
				40	38 40
		Petersburg	NI, SI		
		Sitka	NO	350	350
n		<u> </u>		550	576
Spring		Craig	SO	50	121
(May–June)		Juneau	NI, NO	200	348
		Ketchikan	SI, NI	200	245
		Petersburg	NI, SI	100	100
		Sitka	NO	300	300
		Wrangell	SI, NI	300	303
		Yakutat	NO	600	301
				1,750	1,718
Summer	Retention Period 1	Craig	SO	250	545
(July-September)	(July 1–5)	Elfin Cove	NO	50	80
		Hoonah	NO	40	40
		Juneau	NO	40	30
		Ketchikan	SI, SO	150	142
		Pelican	NO	30	80
		Petersburg	NI, SI	60	183
		Port Alexander	NI	60	50
		Sitka	NO	500	500
		Wrangell	SI, NI	40	60
		Yakutat	NO	30	0
				1,250	1,710
	Retention Period 2	Craig	SO	250	438
	(Aug 13–Sept 3)	Elfin Cove	NO	50	85
		Hoonah	NO	40	40
		Juneau	NO	0	0
		Ketchikan	SI, SO	150	185
		Pelican	NO	60	130
		Petersburg	NI, SI	150	130
		Port Alexander	NI, SI NI	60	50
		Sitka	NO	500	540
				50	
		Wrangell Yakutat	SI, NI NO	50 20	18 0
		TAKINAL	INC J	20	0

Table 2.–Sampling goals and numbers of fish sampled from troll-caught Chinook salmon landings at processors at ports in Southeast Alaska for mixed stock analysis, AY 2016.

^a Quadrant names are abbreviated as follows: Northern Outside (NO), Northern Inside (NI), Southern Outside (SO), and Southern Inside (SI).

		Quadrant	t		
Fishery	NO	SO	NI	SI	Total
Early Winter	440	12	43	62	557
Late Winter	397	50	23	106	576
Spring	867	107	186	558	1,718
Summer Retention 1	730	558	259	163	1,710
Summer Retention 2	783	468	199	182	1,632

Table 3.–Samples collected by quadrant for each seasonal Chinook salmon troll fishery in Southeast Alaska, 2016.

Table 4.–Sampling goals and numbers of fish sampled from sport fishery harvests of Chinook salmon at ports in Southeast Alaska for use in mixed stock analysis, AY 2016.

		AY	AY 2016		
Area/Time	Port	Sample Goal	Samples Collected		
Ketchikan	Ketchikan	600	799		
		600	799		
Petersburg-Wrangell	Petersburg	450	321		
	Wrangell	200	136		
		650	457		
Northern Inside	Juneau	600	324		
	Haines	15	0		
	Skagway	20	0		
		635	324		
Outside (Biweeks 9–13)	Craig/Klawock	250	624		
	Sitka	1,000	1,212		
	Yakutat	50	49		
	Gustavus	50	51		
	Elfin Cove	25	57		
		1,375	1,993		
Outside (Biweeks 14-18)	Craig/Klawock	250	472		
	Sitka	500	392		
	Yakutat	25	9		
	Gustavus	15	17		
	Elfin Cove	25	36		
		815	926		

Criteria	Values
Years	2016
Species	410
Gear Class Codes	5
Harvest Codes	11, 13
Time Code	Р
Time Value Range	1, 54
Area Code	Q- Quadrants
Districts	ALL
Quadrants	NE, NW, SE, SW (correspond to NI, NO, SI, and SO, respectively)
Stat Area Values	ALL

Table 5.–Selection criteria used to generate the Commercial Harvest Expansion Report on the ADF&G Mark, Tag, and Age Laboratory website.

Note: Data are available at https://mtalab.adfg.alaska.gov/CWT/reports/default.aspx



Figure 1.-Location of Southeast Alaska troll fishing quadrants and ports.


Figure 2.-Location of sport fishing ports in Southeast Alaska.

29



Figure 3.–Heat plot of mean contributions of driver stock reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the troll fishery harvest in Southeast Alaska for the northern quadrant (NO) and the seasonal fishery (All), AY 2016.

Note: Reporting groups are described in Table 1. Driver stocks are aggregate stocks that consistently make up a large proportion (>5%) of all Chinook salmon harvested annually in Southeast Alaska fisheries, and thus are important stocks that help drive catch allocations under the Pacific Salmon Treaty.

Note: Fishery names are abbreviated as follows: Early Winter (EW), Late Winter (LW), Spring (SP), Summer retention period 1 (SU1), and Summer retention period 2 (SU2).



Figure 4.–Estimated contributions and 90% credibility intervals of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the regionwide (upper) and Northern Outside quadrant (lower) early winter troll fishery harvest in Southeast Alaska, AY 2016.

Note: Reporting groups are described in Table 1. The Other group consists of those reporting groups that do not contribute more than 5% in any seasonal fisheries. This group includes the Situk, Alsek, Nass, Fraser, Lower Thompson, North Thompson, West Cascades Sp, Columbia Sp, and S Oregon/California reporting groups.



Figure 5.–Estimated contributions and 90% credibility intervals of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the regionwide (upper) and Northern Outside quadrant (lower) late winter troll fishery harvest in Southeast Alaska, AY 2016.

Note: Reporting groups are described in Table 1. The Other group consists of those reporting groups that do not contribute more than 5% in any seasonal fisheries. This group includes the Situk, Alsek, Nass, Fraser, Lower Thompson, North Thompson, West Cascades Sp, Columbia Sp, and S Oregon/California reporting groups.



Figure 6.–Estimated contributions and 90% credibility intervals of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the spring troll fishery harvest regionwide and in the Northern Outside and Southern Inside quadrants of Southeast Alaska, AY 2016.

Note: Reporting groups are described in Table 1. The Other group consists of those reporting groups that do not contribute more than 5% in any seasonal fisheries. This group includes the Situk, Alsek, Nass, Fraser, Lower Thompson, North Thompson, West Cascades Sp, Columbia Sp, and S Oregon/California reporting groups.

Note: Inadequate sample sizes precluded estimating stock compositions for Spring Northern Inside medium- and fine-scale reporting groups.



Figure 7.–Estimated contributions and 90% credibility intervals of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the regionwide (upper) and Northern Outside quadrant (lower) first retention period of the summer troll fishery harvest in Southeast Alaska, AY 2016.

Note: Reporting groups are described in Table 1. The Other group consists of those reporting groups that do not contribute more than 5% in any seasonal fisheries. This group includes the Situk, Alsek, Nass, Fraser, Lower Thompson, North Thompson, West Cascades Sp, Columbia Sp, and S Oregon/California reporting groups.



Figure 8.–Estimated contributions and 90% credibility intervals of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the regionwide (upper) and Northern Outside quadrant (lower) second retention period of the summer troll fishery harvest in Southeast Alaska, AY 2016.

Note: Reporting groups are described in Table 1. The Other group consists of those reporting groups that do not contribute more than 5% in any seasonal fisheries. This group includes the Situk, Alsek, Nass, Fraser, Lower Thompson, North Thompson, West Cascades Sp, Columbia Sp, and S Oregon/California reporting groups.



Figure 9.–Heat plot of mean contributions of driver stock reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the sport fishery harvest in Southeast Alaska by area and time period (for the Outside area only), AY 2016.

Note: Reporting groups are described in Table 1. Driver stocks are aggregate stocks that consistently make up a large proportion (>5%) of all Chinook salmon harvested annually in Southeast Alaska fisheries, and thus are important stocks that help drive catch allocations under the Pacific Salmon Treaty.

Note: Fishery names are abbreviated as follows: Ketchikan (KTN) and Petersburg-Wrangell (PB-WR). *Note:* Period names for the Outside area are Early (biweeks 9–13) and Late (biweeks 14–18).



Figure 10.–Estimated contributions and 90% credibility intervals of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the Ketchikan, Petersburg-Wrangell, Northern Inside (Juneau, Haines, and Skagway) area sport fishery harvests in Southeast Alaska, AY 2016.

Note: Reporting groups are described in Table 1. The Other group consists of those reporting groups that do not contribute more than 5% in any seasonal fisheries. This group includes the Situk, Alsek, Nass, Fraser, Lower Thompson, North Thompson, West Cascades Sp, Columbia Sp, and S Oregon/California reporting groups.



Figure 11.–Estimated contributions and 90% credibility intervals of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the Early Season (biweeks 9–13), Late Season (biweeks 14–18), and total season Outside area sport fishery harvest in Southeast Alaska, AY 2016.

Note: Reporting groups are described in Table 1. The Other group consists of those reporting groups that do not contribute more than 5% in any seasonal fisheries. This group includes the Situk, Alsek, Nass, Fraser, Lower Thompson, North Thompson, West Cascades Sp, Columbia Sp, and S Oregon/California reporting groups.



Figure 12.–Mean contributions (stacked bars, scale on the left) and annual harvest (line, scale on the right) of driver stock reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the annual regionwide troll (upper) and sport (lower) fishery harvest in Southeast Alaska, AY 2009–2016.

Note: Reporting groups are described in Table 1. Driver stocks are aggregate stocks that consistently make up a large proportion (>5%) of all Chinook salmon harvested annually in Southeast Alaska fisheries, and thus are important stocks that help drive catch allocations under the Pacific Salmon Treaty.

APPENDIX A: BASELINE POPULATIONS

	Fine-scale Reporting	Pop			Run			
	Group	No. ^a	Population	Ν	time ^b	Origin ^c	Life Stage	Collection Date
1	Situk	1	Situk River	127		Ŵ	Adult	1988, 1990, 1991, 1992
2	Alsek	2	Blanchard River	349		W	Adult	2000, 2001, 2002, 2003
		3	Goat Creek	62		W	Adult	2007, 2008
		4	Klukshu River	238		W	Adult	1987, 1989, 1990, 1991, 2000, 2001
		5	Takhanne River	196		W	Adult	2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2008
3	N Southeast Alaska	6	Big Boulder Creek	138		W	Adult	1992, 1995, 2004
		7	Tahini RiverMacaulay Hatchery	77		Н	Adult	2005
		8	Tahini River	119		W	Adult	1992, 2004
		9	Kelsall River	153		W	Adult	2004
		10	King Salmon River	143		W	Adult	1989, 1990, 1993
4	Taku	11	Dudidontu River	233		W	Adult	2002, 2004, 2005, 2006
		12	Kowatua Creek	288		W	Adult	1989, 1990, 2005
		13	Little Tatsamenie River	684		W	Adult	1999, 2005, 2006, 2007
		14	Little Trapper River	74		W	Adult	1999
		15	Upper Nahlin River	132		W	Adult	1989, 1990, 2004
		16	Nakina River	428		W	Adult	1989, 1990, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007
		17	Tatsatua Creek	171		W	Adult	1989, 1990
5	Andrew	18	Andrew Creek	131		W	Adult	1989, 2004
		19	Andrew Creek–Crystal Hatchery	207		Н	Adult	2005
		20	Andrew Creek–Macaulay Hatchery	135		Н	Adult	2005
		21	Andrew Creek–Medvejie Hatchery	177		Н	Adult	2005
6	Stikine	22	Christina River	164		W	Adult	2000, 2001, 2002
		23	Craig River	96		W	Adult	2001
		24	Johnny Tashoots Creek	62		W	Adult	2001, 2004, 2005, 2008
		25	Little Tahltan River	126		W	Adult	2001. 2004
		26	Shakes Creek	164		W	Adult	2000, 2001, 2002, 2007
		27	Tahltan River	80		W	Adult	2008
		28	Verrett River	482		W	Adult	2000, 2002, 2003, 2007
7	S Southeast Alaska	29	Chickamin River	126		W	Adult	1990, 2003
		30	King Creek	136		W	Adult	2003
		31	Butler Creek	190		W	Adult	2004
		32	Leduc Creek	43		W	Adult	2004
		33	Humpy Creek	124		W	Adult	2003
		34	Chickamin River–Little Port Walter H.	218		Н	Adult	1993, 2005
		35	Chickamin River–Whitman Hatchery	193		Н	Adult	2005
		36	Clear Creek	134		W	Adult	1989, 2003, 2004

Appendix A1.–Location and collection details for each population of Chinook salmon included in the coastwide baseline of microsatellite data.

Appendix A1.–Page 2 of 10.

	Fine-scale Reporting	Pop			Run			
	Group	No. ^a	Population	Ν	time ^b	Origin ^c	Life Stage	Collection Date
7	Southeast Alaska (cont.)	37	Cripple Creek	141		W	Adult	1988, 2003
		38	Gene's Lake	92		W	Adult	1989, 2003, 2004
		39	Kerr Creek	151		W	Adult	2003, 2004
		40	Unuk River-Little Port Walter H.	149		Н	Adult	2005
		41	Keta River	200		W	Adult	1989, 2003, 2004
		42	Blossom River	190		W	Adult	2004
8	Nass	43	Cranberry River	158		W	Adult	1996, 1997
		44	Damdochax River	63	Su	W	Adult	1996
		45	Ishkheenickh River	192			Adult	2004, 2006
		46	Kincolith River	220	Su	W	Adult	1996, 1999
		47	Kiteen River	54			Adult	2006
		48	Kwinageese River	67	Su	W	Adult	1996, 1997
		49	Meziadin River	45			Adult	1996
		50	Oweegie Creek	147	Su	W	Adult	1996, 1997, 2004
		51	Tseax River	198			Adult	1995, 1996, 2002, 2006, 2008
9	Skeena	52	Cedar River	112	Su	W	Adult	1996
		53	Ecstall River	149	Su	W	Adult	2000, 2001, 2002
		54	Exchamsiks River	106			Adult	1995, 2009
		55	Exstew River	140			Adult	2009
		56	Gitnadoix River	170			Adult	1995, 2009
		57	Kitsumkalum River (Lower)	449	Su	W	Adult	1996, 1998, 2001, 2009
		58	Kasiks River	60			Adult	2006
		59	Zymagotitz River	119			Adult	2006, 2009
		60	Zymoetz River (Upper)	54			Adult	1995, 2004, 2009
		61	Kispiox River	88			Adult	1995, 2004, 2006, 2008
		62	Kitseguecla River	258			Adult	2009
		63	Kitwanga River	169			Adult	1996, 2002, 2003
		64	Shegunia River	78			Adult	2009
		65	Sweetin River	60			Adult	2004, 2005, 2008
		66	Bear River	99			Adult	1991, 1995, 1996, 2005
		67	Kluakaz Creek	98			Adult	2007, 2008, 2009
		68	Kluayaz Creek	144			Adult	2007, 2008, 2009
		69	Kuldo Creek	170			Adult	2008, 2009
		70	Osti Creek	90			Adult	2009
		71	Sicintine River	105		W	Adult	2009
		72	Slamgeesh River	125			Adult	2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009
		73	Squingala River	259			Adult	2008, 2009

Appendix A1.–Page 3 of 10.

	Fine-scale Reporting	Pop			Run			
	Group	No. ^a	Population	Ν	time ^b	Origin ^c	Life Stage	Collection Date
9	Skeena (cont.)	74	Sustut River	337	Su	W	Adult	1995, 1996, 2001, 2002, 2005, 2006
		75	Babine River	105	Su	Н	Adult	1996
		76	Bulkley River (Upper)	206	Su	W	Adult	1991, 1998, 1999
		77	Morice River	105			Adult	1991, 1995, 1996
		78	Suskwa River	85			Adult	2004, 2005, 2009
10	BC Coast/Haida Gwaii	79	Yakoun River	131			Adult	1989, 1996, 2001
		80	Atnarko Creek	142	Su	Н	Adult	1996
		81	Chuckwalla River	46			Adult	1999, 2001, 2005
		82	Dean River	175			Adult	2002, 2003, 2004, 2006
		83	Dean River (Upper)	176			Adult	2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2006
		84	Docee River	42			Adult	1999, 2002, 2007
		85	Kateen River	128			Adult	2004, 2005
		86	Kilbella River	50			Adult	2001, 2005
		87	Kildala River	197			Adult	1999, 2000
		88	Kitimat River	135	Su	Н	Adult	1997
		89	Kitlope River	181			Adult	2004, 2006
		90	Takia River	46			Adult	2002, 2003, 2006
		91	Wannock River	129	F	Н	Adult	1996
		92	Capilano River	75			Adult	1999
		93	Cheakamus River	54	F		Adult	2006, 2007, 2008
		94	Devereux River	148	F	W	Adult	1997, 2000
		95	Klinaklini River	198	F	W	Adult	1997, 1998, 2002
		96	Phillips River	287			Adult	2000, 2004, 2006, 2007, 2008
		97	Squamish River	181	F	Н	Adult	2003
11	West Vancouver	98	Burman River	218			Adult	1985, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992, 2000, 2002, 2003
		99	Conuma River	140	F	Н	Adult	1997
		100	Gold River	258			Adult	1983, 1985, 1986, 1987, 1992, 2002
		101	Kennedy River (Lower)	320			Adult	2005, 2007, 2008
		102	Marble River	136	F	Н	Adult	1996, 1999, 2000
		103	Nahmint River	43	-		Adult	2002, 2003
		102	Nitinat River	125	F	Н	Adult	1996
		105	Robertson Creek	124	F	Н	Adult	1996, 2003
		105	San Juan River	175	•		Adult	2001, 2002
		100	Sarita River	175	F	Н	Adult	1997, 2001
		107	Tahsis River	174	F	W	Adult	1996, 2002, 2003
		108	Thornton Creek	158	1	**	Adult	2001
		110	Tlupana River	58			Adult	2002, 2003

Appendix A1.–Page 4 of 10.

	Fine-scale Reporting	Pop			Run			
	Group	No. ^a	Population	Ν	time ^b	Origin ^c	Life Stage	Collection Date
1	West Vancouver (cont.)	111	Toquart River	68		-	Adult	1999, 2000
		112	Tranquil Creek	227	F	W	Adult	1996, 1999, 2004
		113	Zeballos River	148			Adult	2002, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008
12	East Vancouver	114	Chemainus River	202			Adult	1996, 1999
		115	Nanaimo River (Fall)	122	F	Н	Adult	1996, 2002
		116	Nanaimo River (Summer)	166	Su	Н	Adult	1996, 2002
		117	Nanaimo River (Spring)	94	Sp	W	Adult	1998
		118	Nanaimo River (Upper)	114	1		Adult	2003, 2004
		119	Nimpkish River	68			Adult	2004
		120	Puntledge River (Fall)	279	F	Н	Adult	2000, 2001
		121	Puntledge River (Summer)	255	Su	Н	Adult	1998, 2000, 2006
		122	Qualicum River	79	F	Н	Adult	1996
		123	Quinsam River	143	F	Н	Adult	1996, 1998
13	Fraser	124	Harrison River	216	F		Adult	1999, 2002
		125	Big Silver Creek	54	Sp	W	Adult	2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008
		126	Birkenhead River	154	Sp	W	Adult	1998, 1999, 2001, 2002, 2005, 2006
		127	Pitt River (Upper)	65	Sp	W	Adult	2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008
		128	Maria Slough	271	Su	W	Adult	1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2005
		129	Baezaeko River	80			Adult	1984, 1985
		130	Bridge River	157			Adult	1996
		131	Cariboo River	76	Su	W	Adult	1996, 2007, 2008
		132	Cariboo River (Upper)	166	Sp	W	Adult	2001
		133	Chilcotin River	201	Sp	W	Adult	1996, 1997, 1998, 2001
		134	Chilcotin River (Lower)	173	Sp	W	Adult	1996, 2000, 2001
		135	Chilko River	144	Sp	W	Adult	1995, 1999, 2001, 2002
		136	Cottonwood River (Upper)	118	1		Adult	2004, 2007, 2008
		137	Elkin Creek	190	Su	W	Adult	1996
		138	Endako River	42			Adult	1997, 1998, 2000
		139	Nazko River	179			Adult	1983, 1984, 1985
		140	Nechako River	128	Su	W	Adult	1992, 1996
		141	Portage Creek	138			Adult	2002, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2008
		142	Quesnel River	119	Su	W	Adult	1996, 1997
		143	Stuart River	125	Su	W	Adult	1996
		144	Taseko River	120			Adult	1997, 1998, 2002
		145	Bowron River	78	Sp	W	Adult	1997, 1998, 2001, 2003
		146	Fontoniko Creek	46	ъP	••	Adult	1996
		147	Goat River	46			Adult	1997, 2000, 2001, 2002

Appendix A1.–Page 5 of 10.

	Fine-scale Reporting	Pop			Run			
	Group	No. ^a	Population	Ν	time ^b	Origin ^c	Life Stage	Collection Date
13	Fraser (cont.)	148	Holmes River	100			Adult	1996, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002
		149	James Creek	53			Adult	1984, 1988
		150	McGregor River	119			Adult	1997
		151	Morkill River	152	Su	W	Adult	2001
		152	Salmon River (Fraser)	153	Sp	W	Adult	1996, 1997
		153	Slim Creek	113	Sp	W	Adult	1996, 1998, 2001
		154	Swift Creek	120	Sp	W	Adult	1996, 2000
		155	Fraser River above Tete Jaune	183			Adult	2001
		156	Torpy River	135	F	W	Adult	2001
		157	Willow River	37	Sp	W	Adult	1997, 2002, 2004
14	Lower Thompson	158	Coldwater River	109	1		Adult	1995, 1997, 1998, 1999
	1	159	Coldwater River (Upper)	69			Adult	2004, 2005, 2006
		160	Deadman River	256	Sp	Н	Adult	1997, 1998, 1999, 2006
		161	Lois River	259	Sp	W	Adult	1997, 1999, 2001, 2006, 2008
		162	Nicola Hatchery	135	Sp	Н	Adult	1998, 1999
		163	Nicola River	88	1		Adult	1998, 1999
		164	Spius Creek	52			Adult	1998, 1999
		165	Spius Creek (Upper)	82			Adult	2001, 2006
		166	Spius Hatchery	95	Sp	Н	Adult	1996, 1997, 1998
15	North Thompson	167	Blue River	57	1		Adult	2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2006, 2007
	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	168	Clearwater River	112	Su	W	Adult	1997
		169	Finn Creek	174			Adult	1996, 1998, 2002, 2006, 2008
		170	Lemieux Creek	56			Adult	2001, 2002, 2004, 2006
		171	North Thompson River	77			Adult	2001
		172	Raft River	105	Su	W	Adult	2001, 2002, 2006, 2008
16	South Thompson	173	Adams River	76	Su	Н	Adult	1996, 2001, 2002
	1	174	Bessette Creek	103			Adult	1998, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2006, 2008
		175	Eagle River	76			Adult	2003, 2004
		176	Shuswap River (Lower)	93			Adult	1996, 1997
		177	Shuswap River (Middle)	149	Su	Н	Adult	1997, 2001
		178	South Thompson River	73	~ ~		Adult	1996, 2001
		179	Salmon River	126			Adult	1997, 1998, 1999
		180	Thompson River (Lower)	175	F	W	Adult	2001, 2008
17	Puget Sound	181	Dungeness River	123	-	W	Adult	2004
- /	1 1001 0011111	182	Elwha Hatchery	209	F	Н	Adult/Juv	1996, 2004
		182	Elwha River	139	-	W	Adult/Juv	2004, 2005
		184	Upper Cascade River	43	Sp	w	Adult	1998, 1999

Appendix A1.–Page 6 of 10.

	Fine-scale Reporting	Pop			Run			
	Group	No. ^a	Population	Ν	time ^b	Origin ^c	Life Stage	Collection Date
17	Puget Sound (cont.)	185	Marblemount Hatchery	91	Sp	H	Adult	2006
	0	186	North Fork Nooksack River	137	Sp	H,W	Adult	1998, 1999
		187	North Fork Stilliguamish River	290	Su	H,W	Adult	1996, 2001, 2004
		188	Samish Hatchery	74	F	Ĥ	Adult	1998
		189	Upper Sauk River	120	Sp/Su	W	Adult	1994, 1998, 1999, 2006
		190	Skagit River (Summer)	99	Su	W	Adult	1994, 1995
		191	Skagit River (Lower; Fall)	95	F	W	Adult	1998, 2006
		192	Skagit River (Upper)	53	Su	W		1998
		193	Skykomish River	73	Su	W	Adult	1996, 2000
		194	Snoqualmie River	49		W		2005
		195	Suiattle River	122	Sp	W	Adult	1989, 1998, 1999
		196	Wallace Hatchery	191	Su	Н	Adult	1996, 2004, 2005
		197	Bear Creek	204	Su/F	W	Adult	1998, 1999, 2003, 2004
		198	Cedar River	170	Su/F	W	Adult	1994, 2003, 2004
		199	Nisqually River–Clear Creek Hatchery	132	F	Н	Adult	2005
		200	Grovers Creek Hatchery	95	Su/F	Н	Adult	2004
		201	Hupp Springs Hatchery	90	Sp	Н	Adult	2002
		202	Issaquah Creek	166	Su/F	H,W	Adult	1999, 2004
		203	Nisqually River	94	Su/F	Ŵ	Adult	1998, 1999, 2000, 2006
		204	South Prairie Creek	78	F	W	Adult	1998, 1999, 2002
		205	Soos Creek	178	F	Н	Adult	1998, 2004
		206	Univ of Washington Hatchery	125	Su/F	Н	Adult	2004
		207	Voights Hatchery	93	F	Н	Adult	1998
		208	White River	146	Sp	Н	Adult	1998
		209	George Adams Hatchery	131	ŕ	Н	Adult	2005
		210	Hamma Hamma River	128	F	W	Adult	1999, 2000, 2001
		211	North Fork Skokomish River	87	F	W	Adult	1998, 1999, 2000, 2004, 2005, 2006
		212	South Fork Skokomish River	96	Su/F	H,W	Adult	2005, 2006
18	Washington Coast	213	Forks Creek Hatchery	140	F	H	Adult	2005
	0	214	Hoh River (Fall)	115	F	W	Adult	2004, 2005
		215	Hoh River (Spring/Summer)	138	Sp/Su	W	Adult	1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 2005, 2006
		216	Hoko Hatchery	73	F	H,W	Adult	2004, 2006
		217	Humptulips Hatchery	60	F	Н	Adult	1990
		218	Makah Hatchery	128	F	Н	Adult	2001, 2003
		219	Queets River	53	F	W	Adult	1996, 1997
		220	Quillayute River	52	F	W	Adult	1995, 1996
		221	Quinault River	54	F	W	Adult	1995, 1997, 1998

Appendix A1.–Page 7 of 10.

	Fine-scale Reporting	Pop			Run			
	Group	No. ^a	Population	Ν	time ^b	Origin ^c	Life Stage	Collection Date
18	Washington Coast (cont.)	222	Quinault Hatchery	82	F	Н	Adult	2001, 2006
		223	Sol Duc Hatchery	94	Sp	Н	Adult	2003
19	West Cascades Sp	224	Cowlitz Hatchery (Spring)	124	Sp	Н		2004
	-	225	Kalama Hatchery	133	Sp	Н		2004
		226	Lewis Hatchery	116	Sp	Н		2004
20	Lower Columbia F	227	Abernathy Creek	89	Ê	W	Adult	1995, 1997, 1998, 2000
		228	Abernathy Hatchery	91	F	Н	Adult	1995
		229	Coweeman River	109	F	W	Adult	1996, 2006
		230	Cowlitz Hatchery (Fall)	116	F	Н		2004
		231	Elochoman River	88	F	W	Adult	1995, 1997
		232	Green River	55	F	W	Adult	2000
		233	Lewis River (Fall)	79	F	W	Adult	2003
		234	Lewis River (Lower; Summer)	83	F	W	Adult	2004
		235	Lewis River (Summer)	128	F	W	Adult	2004
		236	Sandy River (Fall)	106	F	W	Adult	2002, 2004
		237	Washougal River	108	F	W	Adult	1995, 1996, 2006
		238	Big Creek Hatchery	95	F	Н	Juvenile	2004
		239	Elochoman Hatchery	94	F	Н	Juvenile	2004
		240	Spring Creek	194	F	Н	Juvenile	2001, 2002, 2006
21	Willamette Sp	241	Sandy River (Spring)	63	Sp	W	Adult	2006
	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	242	McKenzie Hatchery	127	Sp	Н	Adult	2002, 2004
		243	McKenzie River	90	Sp	W	Juvenile	1997
		244	North Fork Clackamas River	62	Sp	W	Juvenile	1997
		245	North Santiam Hatchery	125	Sp	Н	Adult	2002, 2004
		246	North Santiam River	83	Sp	W	Juvenile	1997
22	Columbia Sp	247	Klickitat Hatchery	82	Sp	Н	Adult	2002, 2006
	2 • • • • • • • 2 F	248	Klickitat River (Spring)	40	Sp	W	Adult	2005
		249	Shitike Creek	127	Sp	Н	Juvenile	2003, 2004
		250	Warm Springs Hatchery	127	Sp	Н	04,01110	2002, 2003
		251	Granite Creek	54	Sp	W	Adult	2005, 2006
		252	John Day River (upper mainstem)`	65	Sp	W	Adult	2004, 2005, 2006
		253	Middle Fork John Day River	83	Sp	W	Adult	2004, 2005, 2006
		253	North Fork John Day River	105	Sp	W	Adult	2004, 2005, 2006
		255	American River	116	Sp	W	Adult	2003
		256	Upper Yakima Hatchery	179	Sp	Н	Adult	1998
		250	Little Naches River	73	Sp	W	Adult	2004
		258	Yakima River (Upper)	46	Sp	W	Adult	1992, 1997
		258	Naches River	40 64	Sp Sp	W	Adult	1992, 1997 1989, 1993
		239	INACHES KIVER		Sp nued-	W	Adult	1909, 1993

Appendix A1.–Page 8 of 10.

Fine-scale Reporting	Рор			Run			
Group	No. ^a	Population	Ν	time ^b	Origin ^c	Life Stage	Collection Date
2 Columbia Sp (cont.)	260	Carson Hatchery	168	Sp	Н		2001, 2004, 2006
	261	Entiat Hatchery	127	Sp	Н	Juvenile	2002
	262	Little White Salmon Hatchery (Spring)	93	Sp	Η	Juvenile	2005
	263	Methow River (Spring)	85	Sp	Н	Juvenile	1998, 2000
	264	Twisp River	122	Sp	W	Adult	2001, 2005
	265	Wenatchee Hatchery	43	Sp	Н	Adult	1998, 2000
	266	Wenatchee River	62	Sp	W	Adult	1993
	267	Tucannon River	112	Sp/Su	W	Adult	2003
	268	Chamberlain Creek	45	Sp/Su	W	Juvenile	2006
	269	Crooked Fork Creek	100	Sp/Su	W	Juvenile	2005, 2006
	270	Dworshak Hatchery	81	Sp/Su	Н	Adult	2005
	271	Lochsa River	125	Sp/Su	Н	Adult	2005
	272	Lolo Creek	92	Sp/Su	W	Adult/Juv	2001, 2002
	273	Newsome Creek	75	Sp/Su	W	Adult	2001, 2002
	274	Rapid River Hatchery	136	Sp/Su	Η		1997, 1999, 2002
	275	Rapid River Hatchery	46	Su	Н	Juvenile	2001, 2002
	276	Red River/South Fork Clearwater	172	Sp/Su	Η	Adult	2005
	277	Catherine Creek	111	Sp/Su	W	Adult	2002, 2003
	278	Lookingglass Hatchery	188	Sp/Su	Н	Juvenile	1994, 1995, 1998
	279	Minam River	136	Sp/Su	W		1994, 2002, 2003
	280	Wenaha Creek	46	Sp/Su	W	Juvenile	2002
	281	Imnaha River	132	Sp/Su	W		1998, 2002, 2003
	282	Bear Valley Creek	45	Sp/Su	W	Juvenile	2006
	283	Johnson Creek	186	Sp/Su	W	Adult/Juv	2001, 2002, 2003
	284	Johnson Hatchery	92	Sp/Su	Н	Juvenile	2002, 2003, 2004
	285	Knox Bridge	90	Su	W	Juvenile	2001, 2002
	286	McCall Hatchery	80	Su	Н	Juvenile	1999, 2001
	287	Poverty Flat	88	Su	W	Juvenile	2001, 2002
	288	Sesech River	115	Sp/Su	W		2001, 2002, 2003
	289	Stolle Meadows	91	Su	W	Juvenile	2001, 2002
	290	Big Creek	142	Sp/Su	W	Adult	2001, 2002, 2003
	291	Big Creek (Lower)	74	Su	W	Juvenile	1999, 2002
	292	Big Creek (Upper)	87	Su	W	Juvenile	1999, 2002
	293	Camas Creek	42	Sp/Su	W	Juvenile	2006
	294	Capehorn Creek	51	Sp/Su	W	Juvenile	2006
	295	Marsh Creek	95	Su	W	Juvenile	2001, 2002
	296	Decker Flat	78	Su	W	Juvenile	1999, 2002
	297	Valley Creek (Lower)	94	Su	W	Juvenile	1999, 2002

Appendix A1.–Page 9 of 10.

	Fine-scale Reporting	Pop			Run			
	Group	No. ^a	Population	Ν	time ^b	Origin ^c	Life Stage	Collection Date
22	Columbia Sp (cont.)	298	Valley Creek (Upper)	95	Su	W	Juvenile	1999, 2002
		299	East Fork Salmon River	141	Sp/Su	W	Adult	2004, 2005
		300	Pahsimeroi River	71	Sp/Su	W	Adult	2002
		301	Sawtooth Hatchery	260	Sp/Su	Η	Adult/Juv	2002, 2003, 2005, 2006
		302	West Fork Yankee Fork	59	Sp/Su	W	Juvenile	2005
23	Interior Columbia Su/F	303	Hanford Reach	163	Su/F	W		1999, 2000, 2001
		304	Klickitat River (Summer/Fall)	149	Su/F	W	Adult	1994, 2005
		305	Little White Salmon Hatchery (Fall)	94	Su/F	Н	Juvenile	2006
		306	Marion Drain	131	Su/F	W	Adult	1989, 1992
		307	Methow River (Summer)	115	Su/F	W		1992, 1993, 1994
		308	Okanagan River	72	Su/F	W	Adult	2000, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2006, 2007, 2008
		309	Priest Rapids Hatchery	181	Su/F	Н	Juvenile	1998, 1999, 2000, 2001
		310	Priest Rapids Hatchery	67	Su/F	Н	Adult	1998
		311	Umatilla Hatchery	90	F	Н	Adult	2006
		312	Umatilla Hatchery	94	Su/F	Н	Adult	2003
		313	Wells Dam Hatchery	128	Su/F	Н		1993
		314	Wenatchee River	119	Su/F	W	Adult	1993
		315	Yakima River (Lower)	102	Su/F	W	Adult	1990, 1993, 1998
		316	Deschutes River (Lower)	101	F	W		1999, 2001, 2002
		317	Deschutes River (Upper)	128	Su/F	W	Juvenile	1998, 1999, 2002
		318	Clearwater River	88	F	W	Adult	2000, 2001, 2002
		319	Lyons Ferry	185	F	Н	Adult	2002, 2003
		320	Nez Perce Tribal Hatchery	123	F	Н	Adult	2003, 2004
24	North Oregon Coast	321	Alsea River	108	F	W	Adult	2004
		322	Kilchis River	44	F	Unk	Adult	2000, 2005
		323	Necanicum Hatchery	50	F	H,W	Adult	2005
		324	Nehalem River	131	F	Ŵ	Adult	2000, 2002
		325	Nestucca Hatchery	119	F	Н	Adult	2004, 2005
		326	Salmon River	83	F	Unk	Adult	2003
		327	Siletz River	107	F	W	Adult	2000
		328	Trask River	123	F	W	Adult	2005
		329	Wilson River	120	F	W	Adult	2005
		330	Yaquina River	113	F	W	Adult	2005
		331	Siuslaw River	105	F	W	Adult	2001
25	Mid Oregon Coast	332	Coos Hatchery	58	F	Н	Adult	2005
		333	Coquille River	118	F	W	Adult	2000
		334	Elk River	129	F	Н	Adult	2004
		335	South Coos Hatchery	73	F	Н	Adult	2005

Appendix A1.–Page 10 of 10.

	Fine-scale Reporting	Pop			Run			
	Group	No. ^a	Population	Ν	time ^b	Origin ^c	Life Stage	Collection Date
25	Mid Oregon Coast (cont.)	336	South Coos River	45	F	W	Adult	2000
		337	South Umpqua Hatchery	128	F	H,W	Adult	2002
		338	Sixes River	107	F	W	Adult	2000, 2005
		339	Umpqua Hatchery	132	Sp	W	Adult	2004
26	S Oregon/California	340	Applegate Creek	110	F	W	Adult	2004
		341	Cole Rivers Hatchery	126	Sp	Н	Adult	2004
			Klaskanine Hatchery	96	F	Н	Juvenile	2009
		343	Chetco River	136	F	W	Adult	2004
		344	Klamath River	111	F	W	Adult	2004
			Trinity Hatchery (Fall)	144	F	Н	Adult	1992
			Trinity Hatchery (Spring)	127	Sp	Н	Adult	1992
			Eel River	122	F	W	Adult	2000, 2001
		348	Russian River	142	F	W	Juvenile	2001
		349	Battle Creek	99	F	W	Adult	2002, 2003
		350	Butte Creek	61	F	W	Adult	2002, 2003
			Feather Hatchery (Fall)	129	F	Н	Adult	2003
			Stanislaus River	61	F	W	Adult	2002
		353	Butte Creek	101	Sp	W	Adult	2002, 2003
			Deer Creek	42	Sp	W	Adult	2002
			Feather Hatchery (Spring)	144	Sp	Н	Adult	2003
		356	Mill Creek	76	Sp	W	Adult	2002, 2003
		357	Sacramento River (Winter)	95	W	W, H	Adult	1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1997, 1998, 2001, 2003, 2004

^a Population numbers and Reporting group numbers correspond to the population and reporting group numbers referenced in Table 1. ^b Run timing components are abbreviated as Sp (spring), Su (summer), F (fall), and W (winter).

^c Origin categories are abbreviated as H (hatchery), and W (wild).

APPENDIX B: ESTIMATED CONTRIBUTION

		Sample	Reporting				90%	
Fishery	Quadrant	Size	Group	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%
Early			Alaska	0.122	0.016	0.121	0.097	0.148
Winter	All	547	TBR	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.000	0.013
	All	J 4 7	Canada	0.329	0.021	0.329	0.295	0.365
			US South	0.544	0.021	0.545	0.510	0.578
			Alaska	0.090	0.016	0.089	0.065	0.119
	NO	432	TBR	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.000	0.015
	NO	432	Canada	0.289	0.023	0.289	0.251	0.328
			US South	0.615	0.024	0.616	0.576	0.654
Late Winter			Alaska	0.104	0.015	0.104	0.080	0.129
	A 11	5(0)	TBR	0.009	0.007	0.007	0.001	0.022
	All	569	Canada	0.478	0.022	0.478	0.442	0.515
			US South	0.409	0.021	0.409	0.375	0.443
			Alaska	0.067	0.016	0.067	0.041	0.094
	NO	201	TBR	0.008	0.007	0.006	0.000	0.021
	NO	391	Canada	0.443	0.027	0.443	0.400	0.487
			US South	0.482	0.026	0.482	0.440	0.524
Spring			Alaska	0.231	0.026	0.231	0.189	0.276
1 0	NO	202	TBR	0.016	0.009	0.015	0.004	0.034
	NO	293	Canada	0.417	0.030	0.417	0.367	0.468
			US South	0.336	0.029	0.335	0.289	0.383
			Alaska	0.316	0.040	0.315	0.252	0.383
	NI	150	TBR	0.151	0.031	0.149	0.102	0.204
	NI	179	Canada	0.430	0.041	0.430	0.364	0.499
			US South	0.103	0.025	0.101	0.065	0.146
			Alaska	0.224	0.047	0.222	0.150	0.304
	50	101	TBR	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.002
	SO	101	Canada	0.624	0.052	0.625	0.537	0.708
			US South	0.152	0.038	0.150	0.094	0.219
			Alaska	0.532	0.033	0.532	0.478	0.586
	10	204	TBR	0.028	0.012	0.026	0.011	0.050
	SI	284	Canada	0.293	0.030	0.293	0.244	0.344
			US South	0.147	0.022	0.146	0.113	0.184
Summer			Alaska	0.026	0.007	0.026	0.016	0.039
Retention 1		020	TBR	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.007
	All	939	Canada	0.207	0.016	0.207	0.182	0.234
			US South	0.765	0.017	0.765	0.737	0.792
			Alaska	0.023	0.009	0.022	0.010	0.039
			TBR	0.023	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.009
	NO	393	Canada	0.165	0.005	0.164	0.134	0.198
			US South	0.810	0.020	0.811	0.776	0.843

Appendix B1.–Estimated contributions of broadscale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the Southeast Alaska troll fishery harvest, AY 2016.

Appendix B1.–Page 2 of	2.
------------------------	----

Fishery	Quadrant	Sample Size	Reporting Group	Mean	SD	Median	90%	6 CI
Summer			Alaska	0.038	0.008	0.037	0.026	0.051
Retention	All	938	TBR	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001
2	All	930	Canada	0.191	0.017	0.191	0.164	0.220
			US South	0.771	0.018	0.771	0.741	0.799
			Alaska	0.031	0.010	0.030	0.017	0.049
	NO	380	TBR	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001
	NU	380	Canada	0.194	0.021	0.194	0.160	0.230
			US South	0.774	0.022	0.775	0.737	0.810

Note: Successfully genotyped sample sizes, standard deviation (SD), and 90% credibility intervals (CI) are provided.

	Ear	ly Winte	r Regionwi	de $(n = 5)$	47)	Early	Winter N	orthern Ou	tside (n =	= 432)
				90%	6 CI				90%	5 CI
Reporting Group	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%
SEAK/TBR	0.126	0.015	0.126	0.102	0.153	0.096	0.016	0.095	0.071	0.123
NCBC	0.197	0.019	0.196	0.167	0.228	0.172	0.020	0.172	0.140	0.206
West Vancouver	0.039	0.008	0.039	0.027	0.054	0.037	0.009	0.036	0.023	0.053
South Thompson	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001
Washington Coast	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Interior Columbia Su/F	0.411	0.021	0.411	0.376	0.446	0.473	0.024	0.473	0.433	0.513
Oregon Coast	0.013	0.006	0.012	0.005	0.023	0.015	0.007	0.014	0.006	0.027
Other	0.214	0.019	0.213	0.183	0.245	0.207	0.021	0.207	0.174	0.242
	Lat	e Winter	Regionwic	le $(n = 5)$	69)	Late '	Winter N	orthern Out	side ($n =$	391)
SEAK/TBR	0.113	0.014	0.112	0.090	0.137	0.075	0.015	0.074	0.051	0.101
NCBC	0.205	0.019	0.205	0.175	0.237	0.198	0.022	0.197	0.163	0.235
West Vancouver	0.193	0.017	0.193	0.167	0.222	0.176	0.019	0.176	0.145	0.209
South Thompson	0.010	0.005	0.009	0.003	0.019	0.013	0.006	0.012	0.004	0.024
Washington Coast	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.000	0.012	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.000	0.015
Interior Columbia Su/F	0.221	0.018	0.221	0.192	0.252	0.267	0.023	0.266	0.229	0.305
Oregon Coast	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.010	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.013
Other	0.249	0.019	0.248	0.218	0.280	0.261	0.023	0.260	0.224	0.299
	5	Spring Re	egionwide	(n = 857))	Sp	oring Nort	hern Outsic	le $(n = 29)$	93)
SEAK/TBR	0.406	0.018	0.406	0.377	0.436	0.247	0.026	0.247	0.205	0.292
NCBC	0.167	0.015	0.166	0.143	0.192	0.133	0.021	0.132	0.099	0.170
West Vancouver	0.132	0.012	0.131	0.113	0.152	0.196	0.023	0.196	0.159	0.236
South Thompson	0.040	0.008	0.040	0.028	0.053	0.042	0.013	0.041	0.023	0.065
Washington Coast	0.008	0.004	0.008	0.003	0.015	0.019	0.009	0.018	0.007	0.036
Interior Columbia Su/F	0.139	0.013	0.139	0.119	0.161	0.232	0.026	0.231	0.191	0.275
Oregon Coast	0.006	0.003	0.005	0.002	0.012	0.003	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.014
Other	0.102	0.011	0.101	0.083	0.121	0.127	0.021	0.126	0.095	0.162
	Sp	oring Sou	thern Inside	e (<i>n</i> = 28	4)					
SEAK/TBR	0.560	0.032	0.560	0.506	0.612	_				
NCBC	0.163	0.026	0.162	0.122	0.207					
West Vancouver	0.069	0.015	0.068	0.045	0.095					
South Thompson	0.037	0.012	0.035	0.020	0.057					
Washington Coast	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.004					
Interior Columbia Su/F	0.093	0.018	0.092	0.066	0.124					
Oregon Coast	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.000	0.009					
Other	0.076	0.017	0.075	0.051	0.106					

Appendix B2.–Estimated contributions of driver stock reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the Southeast Alaska troll fishery harvest by season and quadrant, AY 2016.

Appendix B	2.–Page 2 of 2.
------------	-----------------

	S	ummer 1 l	9)	Sur	nmer 1 No	orthern Out	side ($n = 3$	393)		
SEAK/TBR	0.028	0.007	0.041	0.025	0.009	0.024	0.012	0.041		
NCBC	0.019	0.006	0.018	0.011	0.029	0.013	0.007	0.012	0.004	0.026
West Vancouver	0.047	0.008	0.047	0.035	0.061	0.039	0.010	0.038	0.024	0.056
South Thompson	0.134	0.014	0.134	0.113	0.157	0.109	0.016	0.109	0.084	0.137
Washington Coast	0.063	0.012	0.063	0.045	0.085	0.068	0.016	0.067	0.044	0.095
Interior Columbia Su/F	0.451	0.021	0.451	0.417	0.485	0.472	0.026	0.471	0.429	0.514
Oregon Coast	0.179	0.017	0.179	0.152	0.208	0.194	0.022	0.193	0.159	0.231
Other	0.078	0.012	0.077	0.059	0.098	0.081	0.015	0.080	0.058	0.107

	S	ummer 2	Regionwide	e(n = 93)	8)	Sur	nmer 2 No	orthern Outs	side $(n = 3)$	380)
				90%	6 CI				90%	6 CI
Reporting Group	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%
SEAK/TBR	0.038	0.008	0.037	0.026	0.052	0.032	0.010	0.031	0.017	0.049
NCBC	0.028	0.007	0.027	0.017	0.041	0.025	0.009	0.024	0.012	0.042
West Vancouver	0.092	0.012	0.092	0.073	0.113	0.098	0.015	0.097	0.074	0.124
South Thompson	0.054	0.010	0.054	0.039	0.072	0.060	0.013	0.059	0.040	0.082
Washington Coast	0.079	0.012	0.079	0.060	0.100	0.094	0.016	0.093	0.069	0.121
Interior Columbia Su/F	0.475	0.021	0.475	0.440	0.510	0.504	0.026	0.504	0.460	0.547
Oregon Coast	0.177	0.016	0.177	0.152	0.204	0.150	0.019	0.149	0.120	0.182
Other	0.057	0.010	0.057	0.041	0.075	0.038	0.012	0.037	0.020	0.059

Note: Successfully genotyped sample sizes (*n*), standard deviation (SD), and 90% credibility intervals (CI) are provided. *Note*: Reporting groups are described in Table 1.

			Regio	onwide $(n = 5)$	47)		N	orthern Ou	tside Quadrant	n = 432)
					90%	CI				90%	CI
	Reporting Group ^a	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%
1	Situk	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2	Alsek	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
3	N Southeast Alaska	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
4	Taku	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
5	Andrew	0.031	0.009	0.030	0.018	0.047	0.021	0.009	0.020	0.008	0.037
6	Stikine	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.000	0.013	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.000	0.015
7	S Southeast Alaska	0.090	0.014	0.090	0.069	0.114	0.069	0.014	0.068	0.047	0.094
8	Nass	0.010	0.008	0.010	0.000	0.024	0.012	0.009	0.011	0.000	0.028
9	Skeena	0.008	0.004	0.008	0.003	0.015	0.005	0.003	0.004	0.001	0.011
10	BC Coast/Haida Gwaii	0.179	0.017	0.178	0.151	0.208	0.156	0.019	0.155	0.126	0.187
11	West Vancouver	0.039	0.008	0.039	0.026	0.054	0.037	0.009	0.036	0.023	0.053
12	East Vancouver	0.086	0.012	0.085	0.066	0.107	0.071	0.013	0.071	0.051	0.094
13	Fraser	0.007	0.004	0.006	0.001	0.016	0.008	0.005	0.007	0.001	0.018
14	Lower Thompson	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
15	North Thompson	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
16	South Thompson	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001
17	Puget Sound	0.033	0.008	0.033	0.021	0.047	0.026	0.008	0.025	0.014	0.040
18	Washington Coast	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
19	West Cascades Sp	0.017	0.006	0.016	0.008	0.029	0.020	0.008	0.019	0.009	0.034
20	Lower Columbia F	0.023	0.008	0.022	0.012	0.037	0.027	0.009	0.026	0.014	0.043
21	Willamette Sp	0.047	0.010	0.047	0.032	0.065	0.055	0.012	0.055	0.037	0.076
22	Columbia Sp	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
23	Interior Columbia Su/F	0.411	0.021	0.411	0.376	0.446	0.473	0.024	0.473	0.433	0.513
24	North Oregon Coast	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.013	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.001	0.016
25	Mid Oregon Coast	0.007	0.005	0.006	0.000	0.016	0.008	0.006	0.007	0.000	0.019
26	S Oregon/California	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Appendix B3.-Estimated contributions of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the harvest for the early winter troll fishery regionwide and in the Northern Outside quadrant of Southeast Alaska, AY 2016.

Note: Successfully genotyped sample sizes (n), standard deviation (SD), and 90% credibility intervals (CI) are provided.

			Regio	onwide $(n = 5)$	69)		N	orthern Ou	tside Quadrant	(n = 391)	,
					90%	CI				90%	CI
	Reporting Group ^a	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%
1	Situk	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2	Alsek	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
3	N Southeast Alaska	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002
4	Taku	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.001	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.006
5	Andrew	0.023	0.008	0.022	0.011	0.037	0.017	0.008	0.016	0.006	0.032
6	Stikine	0.008	0.007	0.006	0.000	0.021	0.007	0.007	0.005	0.000	0.020
7	S Southeast Alaska	0.081	0.014	0.081	0.059	0.105	0.050	0.015	0.049	0.025	0.075
8	Nass	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.000	0.008
9	Skeena	0.017	0.005	0.016	0.009	0.027	0.012	0.006	0.011	0.004	0.022
10	BC Coast/Haida Gwaii	0.186	0.018	0.186	0.157	0.217	0.184	0.022	0.183	0.149	0.220
11	West Vancouver	0.193	0.017	0.193	0.167	0.222	0.176	0.019	0.176	0.145	0.209
12	East Vancouver	0.063	0.010	0.063	0.047	0.081	0.052	0.012	0.051	0.034	0.072
13	Fraser	0.007	0.003	0.006	0.002	0.013	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.013
14	Lower Thompson	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
15	North Thompson	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
16	South Thompson	0.010	0.005	0.009	0.003	0.019	0.013	0.006	0.012	0.004	0.024
17	Puget Sound	0.019	0.006	0.019	0.010	0.030	0.013	0.006	0.012	0.004	0.025
18	Washington Coast	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.000	0.012	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.000	0.015
19	West Cascades Sp	0.008	0.005	0.008	0.002	0.017	0.011	0.006	0.010	0.003	0.023
20	Lower Columbia F	0.027	0.008	0.026	0.015	0.042	0.033	0.010	0.032	0.018	0.052
21	Willamette Sp	0.123	0.014	0.123	0.100	0.148	0.147	0.018	0.146	0.118	0.177
22	Columbia Sp	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
23	Interior Columbia Su/F	0.221	0.018	0.221	0.192	0.252	0.267	0.023	0.266	0.229	0.305
24	North Oregon Coast	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.006	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.000	0.012
25	Mid Oregon Coast	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.006	0.001	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.007
26	S Oregon/California	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Appendix B4.–Estimated contributions of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the harvest for the late winter troll fishery regionwide and in the Northern Outside quadrant of Southeast Alaska, AY 2016.

Note: Successfully genotyped sample sizes (n), standard deviation (SD), and 90% credibility intervals (CI) are provided.

			Regio	nwide (n =	= 856)]	Northern	Outside (n = 292)		Souther	n Inside (n	= 284)	
					90%	6 CI				90%	6 CI				90%	6 CI
	Reporting Group ^a	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%
1	Situk	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2	Alsek	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
3	N Southeast Alaska	0.005	0.003	0.005	0.002	0.010	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
4	Taku	0.031	0.009	0.031	0.018	0.046	0.016	0.009	0.014	0.003	0.033	0.002	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.009
5	Andrew	0.158	0.015	0.157	0.133	0.183	0.172	0.025	0.171	0.133	0.214	0.167	0.026	0.166	0.126	0.211
6	Stikine	0.018	0.008	0.017	0.005	0.032	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.026	0.013	0.025	0.006	0.048
7	S Southeast Alaska	0.194	0.016	0.194	0.168	0.221	0.059	0.017	0.058	0.034	0.089	0.365	0.033	0.365	0.311	0.420
8	Nass	0.006	0.003	0.006	0.002	0.013	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.000	0.012	0.012	0.008	0.011	0.002	0.028
9	Skeena	0.008	0.005	0.007	0.003	0.017	0.009	0.009	0.005	0.000	0.030	0.006	0.005	0.004	0.000	0.015
10	BC Coast/Haida Gwaii	0.152	0.014	0.152	0.129	0.176	0.120	0.021	0.119	0.087	0.155	0.145	0.025	0.144	0.106	0.186
11	West Vancouver	0.132	0.012	0.131	0.113	0.152	0.196	0.023	0.196	0.159	0.236	0.069	0.015	0.068	0.045	0.095
12	East Vancouver	0.042	0.007	0.041	0.031	0.054	0.046	0.013	0.045	0.027	0.068	0.025	0.010	0.024	0.012	0.043
13	Fraser	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
14	Lower Thompson	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
15	North Thompson	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
16	South Thompson	0.040	0.008	0.040	0.028	0.053	0.042	0.013	0.041	0.023	0.065	0.037	0.012	0.035	0.020	0.057
17	Puget Sound	0.025	0.006	0.025	0.016	0.036	0.002	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.044	0.013	0.042	0.024	0.067
18	Washington Coast	0.008	0.004	0.008	0.003	0.015	0.019	0.009	0.018	0.007	0.036	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.004
19	West Cascades Sp	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
20	Lower Columbia F	0.022	0.006	0.021	0.013	0.032	0.047	0.014	0.046	0.027	0.072	0.007	0.005	0.006	0.001	0.018
21	Willamette Sp	0.012	0.004	0.012	0.006	0.020	0.031	0.011	0.030	0.016	0.050	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
22	Columbia Sp	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
23	Interior Columbia Su/F	0.139	0.013	0.139	0.119	0.161	0.232	0.026	0.231	0.191	0.275	0.093	0.018	0.092	0.066	0.124
24	North Oregon Coast	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.000	0.008	0.001	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
25	Mid Oregon Coast	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.000	0.008	0.002	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.012	0.002	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.009
26	S Oregon/California	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Appendix B5.–Estimated contributions of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the harvest for the spring troll fishery regionwide and in the Northern Outside and Southern Inside quadrants of Southeast Alaska, AY 2016.

Note: Successfully genotyped sample sizes (n), standard deviation (SD), and 90% credibility intervals (CI) are provided.

			Regi	onwide $(n = 9)$	39)			Norther	n Outside ($n =$	393)	
					90%	CI				90%	CI
	Reporting Group ^a	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%
1	Situk	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2	Alsek	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
3	N Southeast Alaska	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
4	Taku	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.002	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.009
5	Andrew	0.007	0.004	0.006	0.003	0.017	0.002	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.014
6	Stikine	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
7	S Southeast Alaska	0.019	0.006	0.018	0.010	0.030	0.020	0.008	0.019	0.009	0.035
8	Nass	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
9	Skeena	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.000	0.008
10	BC Coast/Haida Gwaii	0.015	0.005	0.015	0.008	0.025	0.010	0.006	0.009	0.002	0.022
11	West Vancouver	0.047	0.008	0.047	0.035	0.061	0.039	0.010	0.038	0.024	0.056
12	East Vancouver	0.005	0.002	0.004	0.002	0.009	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.006
13	Fraser	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.006	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.000	0.007
14	Lower Thompson	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002
15	North Thompson	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.002
16	South Thompson	0.134	0.014	0.134	0.113	0.157	0.109	0.016	0.109	0.084	0.137
17	Puget Sound	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.000	0.010	0.004	0.005	0.003	0.000	0.013
18	Washington Coast	0.063	0.012	0.063	0.045	0.085	0.068	0.016	0.067	0.044	0.095
19	West Cascades Sp	0.002	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.012	0.003	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.015
20	Lower Columbia F	0.059	0.011	0.058	0.042	0.077	0.062	0.013	0.061	0.041	0.085
21	Willamette Sp	0.007	0.004	0.006	0.002	0.014	0.009	0.005	0.008	0.002	0.019
22	Columbia Sp	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
23	Interior Columbia Su/F	0.451	0.021	0.451	0.417	0.485	0.472	0.026	0.471	0.429	0.514
24	North Oregon Coast	0.161	0.017	0.161	0.134	0.189	0.179	0.022	0.178	0.144	0.216
25	Mid Oregon Coast	0.019	0.007	0.017	0.008	0.032	0.015	0.009	0.013	0.003	0.032
26	S Oregon/California	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Appendix B6.–Estimated contributions of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the harvest for the first retention period of the summer troll fishery regionwide and in the Northern Outside quadrant of Southeast Alaska, AY 2016.

Note: Successfully genotyped sample sizes (n), standard deviation (SD), and 90% credibility intervals (CI) are provided.

			Reg	ionwide (n=93	8)			Northe	rn Outside (n=	380)	
					90%	CI				90%	CI
	Reporting Group ^a	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%
1	Situk	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2	Alsek	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
3	N Southeast Alaska	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
4	Taku	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
5	Andrew	0.014	0.005	0.013	0.007	0.024	0.016	0.007	0.015	0.006	0.028
6	Stikine	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
7	S Southeast Alaska	0.023	0.006	0.023	0.015	0.034	0.016	0.007	0.015	0.005	0.030
8	Nass	0.004	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.008	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002
9	Skeena	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.004
10	BC Coast/Haida Gwaii	0.024	0.007	0.023	0.014	0.036	0.025	0.009	0.024	0.012	0.041
11	West Vancouver	0.092	0.012	0.092	0.073	0.113	0.098	0.015	0.097	0.074	0.124
12	East Vancouver	0.015	0.005	0.015	0.008	0.024	0.011	0.006	0.010	0.004	0.022
13	Fraser	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
14	Lower Thompson	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
15	North Thompson	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
16	South Thompson	0.054	0.010	0.054	0.039	0.072	0.060	0.013	0.059	0.040	0.082
17	Puget Sound	0.015	0.006	0.014	0.007	0.025	0.008	0.006	0.007	0.000	0.019
18	Washington Coast	0.079	0.012	0.079	0.060	0.100	0.094	0.016	0.093	0.069	0.121
19	West Cascades Sp	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
20	Lower Columbia F	0.017	0.007	0.016	0.007	0.029	0.019	0.009	0.018	0.007	0.035
21	Willamette Sp	0.004	0.002	0.004	0.001	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
22	Columbia Sp	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
23	Interior Columbia Su/F	0.475	0.021	0.475	0.440	0.510	0.504	0.026	0.504	0.460	0.547
24	North Oregon Coast	0.158	0.015	0.158	0.133	0.184	0.132	0.019	0.132	0.103	0.164
25	Mid Oregon Coast	0.019	0.007	0.018	0.009	0.031	0.018	0.008	0.017	0.006	0.032
26	S Oregon/California	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000

Appendix B7.–Estimated contributions of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the harvest for the second retention period of the summer troll fishery regionwide and in the Northern Outside quadrant of Southeast Alaska, AY 2016.

Note: Successfully genotyped sample sizes (n), standard deviation (SD), and 90% credibility intervals (CI) are provided.

		Sample	Reporting				909	% CI
Area	Period	Size	Group	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%
			Alaska	0.563	0.034	0.563	0.506	0.619
Ketchikan	All	296	TBR	0.003	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.021
Ketchikan	Season	290	Canada	0.327	0.033	0.327	0.274	0.382
			US South	0.107	0.018	0.106	0.078	0.138
			Alaska	0.487	0.057	0.487	0.393	0.579
Petersburg-	All	200	TBR	0.242	0.040	0.241	0.179	0.309
Wrangell	Season	200	Canada	0.258	0.049	0.257	0.181	0.340
			US South	0.013	0.009	0.011	0.002	0.029
			Alaska	0.520	0.032	0.520	0.467	0.573
Northern Inside	All	284	TBR	0.328	0.030	0.328	0.279	0.379
Normern miside	Season	204	Canada	0.130	0.022	0.129	0.095	0.168
			US South	0.021	0.011	0.019	0.007	0.043
			Alaska	0.064	0.010	0.064	0.049	0.081
	All	1,141	TBR	0.002	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.011
	Season	1,141	Canada	0.451	0.017	0.451	0.423	0.479
			US South	0.483	0.017	0.483	0.456	0.511
			Alaska	0.076	0.013	0.075	0.056	0.098
Outside	Biweeks	599	TBR	0.003	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.015
Outside	9–13	599	Canada	0.413	0.022	0.413	0.377	0.449
			US South	0.509	0.021	0.509	0.474	0.544
			Alaska	0.031	0.009	0.030	0.017	0.046
	Biweeks	542	TBR	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.002
	14–18	574	Canada	0.557	0.022	0.557	0.520	0.594
			US South	0.412	0.022	0.412	0.376	0.448

Appendix B8.–Estimated contributions of broadscale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the Southeast Alaska sport fishery harvest, AY 2016.

Note: Successfully genotyped sample sizes, standard deviation (SD), and 90% credibility intervals (CI) are provided. *Note*: Reporting groups are described in Table 1.

		Ketc	chikan ($n =$	296)		-	Petersburg	g-Wrangell	(n = 200))		Northe	rn Inside (n	= 284)	
				90%	6 CI				90%	6 CI				90%	6 CI
Reporting Group	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%
SEAK/TBR	0.566	0.034	0.567	0.510	0.622	0.729	0.050	0.730	0.645	0.808	0.849	0.023	0.850	0.810	0.885
NCBC	0.150	0.027	0.149	0.107	0.197	0.218	0.048	0.216	0.143	0.298	0.114	0.021	0.113	0.081	0.150
West Vancouver	0.079	0.016	0.078	0.055	0.107	0.010	0.007	0.008	0.002	0.024	0.005	0.004	0.003	0.000	0.013
South Thompson	0.086	0.017	0.085	0.060	0.117	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Washington Coast	0.012	0.007	0.011	0.004	0.025	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.015
Interior Columbia Su/F	0.068	0.015	0.067	0.045	0.094	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.015	0.007	0.014	0.005	0.029
Oregon Coast	0.010	0.006	0.009	0.003	0.022	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.003
Other	0.028	0.010	0.027	0.013	0.046	0.043	0.015	0.041	0.022	0.069	0.014	0.009	0.012	0.004	0.031

Appendix B9.-Estimated contributions of driver stock reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the Southeast Alaska sport fishery harvest by area and season, AY 2016.

		Outside A	ll Season (n	i = 1,141)	(Outside Bi	weeks 9–13	3 (n = 59)	9)	O	Outside Biweeks $14-18 (n = 542)$				
				90%	6 CI				90%	6 CI				90%	% CI	
Reporting Group	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	
SEAK/TBR	0.066	0.010	0.066	0.050	0.084	0.079	0.013	0.078	0.058	0.102	0.031	0.009	0.030	0.018	0.046	
NCBC	0.088	0.010	0.087	0.071	0.105	0.073	0.013	0.072	0.053	0.095	0.129	0.016	0.128	0.104	0.155	
West Vancouver	0.251	0.014	0.250	0.229	0.274	0.217	0.017	0.217	0.190	0.246	0.345	0.021	0.344	0.311	0.379	
South Thompson	0.101	0.010	0.101	0.085	0.119	0.114	0.013	0.114	0.093	0.137	0.065	0.011	0.065	0.048	0.084	
Washington Coast	0.063	0.008	0.063	0.050	0.078	0.057	0.010	0.057	0.041	0.076	0.081	0.012	0.080	0.061	0.102	
Interior Columbia Su/F	0.301	0.015	0.301	0.276	0.327	0.315	0.020	0.314	0.283	0.347	0.263	0.019	0.262	0.232	0.295	
Oregon Coast	0.058	0.009	0.058	0.045	0.073	0.066	0.011	0.066	0.049	0.086	0.036	0.009	0.035	0.023	0.051	
Other	0.071	0.009	0.071	0.057	0.087	0.078	0.012	0.078	0.059	0.099	0.051	0.010	0.051	0.036	0.069	

Note: Successfully genotyped sample sizes (n), standard deviation (SD), and 90% credibility intervals (CI) are provided.

Note: Reporting groups are described in Table 1.

			Ketc	hikan ($n =$	296)		Pe	Petersburg-Wrangell ^b ($n = 200$)					Northern Inside waters $(n = 283)$				
					90%	5 CI				90%	6 CI				90%	6 CI	
	Reporting Group ^a	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	
1	Situk	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
2	Alsek	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	
3	N Southeast Alaska	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.017	0.008	0.016	0.007	0.032	
4	Taku	0.001	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.085	0.029	0.084	0.041	0.136	0.325	0.031	0.324	0.275	0.376	
5	Andrew	0.006	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.028	0.333	0.041	0.332	0.267	0.400	0.469	0.032	0.469	0.416	0.523	
6	Stikine	0.002	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.017	0.157	0.039	0.155	0.097	0.225	0.004	0.009	0.000	0.000	0.025	
7	S Southeast Alaska	0.557	0.034	0.557	0.500	0.613	0.154	0.052	0.152	0.076	0.240	0.033	0.013	0.032	0.015	0.057	
8	Nass	0.037	0.016	0.035	0.013	0.066	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	
9	Skeena	0.027	0.013	0.026	0.009	0.052	0.113	0.042	0.110	0.053	0.182	0.042	0.012	0.041	0.024	0.064	
10	BC Coast/Haida Gwaii	0.086	0.021	0.085	0.054	0.123	0.105	0.026	0.103	0.065	0.151	0.072	0.018	0.071	0.045	0.103	
11	West Vancouver	0.079	0.016	0.078	0.055	0.107	0.010	0.007	0.008	0.002	0.024	0.005	0.004	0.003	0.000	0.013	
12	East Vancouver	0.011	0.007	0.010	0.003	0.024	0.030	0.012	0.029	0.013	0.052	0.011	0.006	0.010	0.003	0.023	
13	Fraser	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	
14	Lower Thompson	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
15	North Thompson	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
16	South Thompson	0.086	0.017	0.085	0.060	0.117	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
17	Puget Sound	0.012	0.007	0.011	0.003	0.024	0.007	0.007	0.005	0.000	0.020	0.002	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.017	
18	Washington Coast	0.012	0.007	0.011	0.004	0.025	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.015	
19	West Cascades Sp	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.009	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
20	Lower Columbia F	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.000	0.012	0.003	0.005	0.001	0.000	0.013	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.001	
21	Willamette Sp	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
22	Columbia Sp	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	
23	Interior Columbia Su/F	0.068	0.015	0.067	0.045	0.094	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.015	0.007	0.014	0.005	0.029	
24	North Oregon Coast	0.010	0.006	0.009	0.002	0.021	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.002	
25	Mid Oregon Coast	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	
26	S Oregon/California	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	

Appendix B10.-Estimated contributions of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the sport fishery harvest in Ketchikan, Petersburg-Wrangell and Northern Inside (Juneau, Haines, and Skagway) areas of Southeast Alaska, 2016.

Note: Successfully genotyped sample sizes (n), standard deviation (SD), and 90% credibility intervals (CI) are provided.

^a Run timing components are abbreviated as Sp (spring), Su (summer), and F (fall).
^b Results did not converge at 80,000 iterations in BAYES. Results are an average of all 5 chains.

Appendix B11Estimated contributions of fine-scale reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the total season, early season (biweeks 9-13), and
late season (biweeks 14-18) sport fishery harvest in outside waters (Craig/Klawock, Sitka, Yakutat, Gustavus, and Elfin Cove) of Southeast
Alaska, 2016.

			Total S	beason ($n =$	1,141)			Early Season $(n = 599)$					Late Season $(n = 542)$				
					90%	6 CI				90%	5 CI				90%	6 CI	
	Reporting Group ^a	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	
1	Situk	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
2	Alsek	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
3	N Southeast Alaska	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
4	Taku	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	
5	Andrew	0.015	0.006	0.014	0.007	0.026	0.034	0.009	0.033	0.021	0.049	0.015	0.006	0.014	0.006	0.026	
6	Stikine	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.015	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	
7	S Southeast Alaska	0.017	0.006	0.016	0.008	0.029	0.042	0.011	0.041	0.025	0.061	0.016	0.007	0.015	0.006	0.028	
8	Nass	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.003	0.004	0.002	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	
9	Skeena	0.053	0.011	0.053	0.036	0.072	0.049	0.011	0.049	0.032	0.069	0.053	0.011	0.053	0.036	0.073	
10	BC Coast/Haida Gwaii	0.073	0.012	0.073	0.055	0.094	0.021	0.007	0.020	0.011	0.033	0.075	0.012	0.075	0.056	0.097	
11	West Vancouver	0.340	0.020	0.340	0.308	0.373	0.217	0.017	0.217	0.190	0.246	0.345	0.021	0.344	0.311	0.379	
12	East Vancouver	0.016	0.005	0.015	0.008	0.026	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.009	0.016	0.006	0.016	0.008	0.027	
13	Fraser	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.006	0.005	0.003	0.004	0.001	0.010	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.006	
14	Lower Thompson	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
15	North Thompson	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002	
16	South Thompson	0.067	0.010	0.066	0.051	0.085	0.114	0.013	0.114	0.093	0.137	0.065	0.011	0.065	0.048	0.084	
17	Puget Sound	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.010	0.005	0.009	0.003	0.020	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.003	
18	Washington Coast	0.080	0.012	0.079	0.061	0.100	0.057	0.010	0.057	0.041	0.076	0.081	0.012	0.080	0.061	0.102	
19	West Cascades Sp	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
20	Lower Columbia F	0.029	0.007	0.028	0.018	0.041	0.054	0.010	0.053	0.039	0.071	0.028	0.007	0.027	0.017	0.041	
21	Willamette Sp	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.000	0.010	0.006	0.003	0.005	0.001	0.012	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.000	0.010	
22	Columbia Sp	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
23	Interior Columbia Su/F	0.265	0.018	0.264	0.235	0.296	0.315	0.020	0.314	0.283	0.347	0.263	0.019	0.262	0.232	0.295	
24	North Oregon Coast	0.031	0.008	0.030	0.019	0.045	0.051	0.010	0.050	0.035	0.068	0.030	0.008	0.029	0.018	0.045	
25	Mid Oregon Coast	0.006	0.004	0.006	0.001	0.014	0.016	0.008	0.015	0.004	0.030	0.006	0.004	0.005	0.001	0.014	
26	S Oregon/California	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	

Note: Successfully genotyped sample sizes (n), standard deviation (SD), and 90% credibility intervals (CI) are provided. ^a Run timing components are abbreviated as Sp (spring), Su (summer), and F (fall).

		AY 2	2009 (n = 1)	,629)	AY 2010 (<i>n</i> = 3,197)						
				90%		90% CI					
Reporting Group	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	
SEAK/TBR	0.219	0.009	0.219	0.204	0.234	0.252	0.008	0.252	0.238	0.266	
NCBC	0.101	0.008	0.101	0.089	0.115	0.075	0.006	0.075	0.066	0.085	
West Vancouver	0.121	0.008	0.121	0.108	0.136	0.085	0.006	0.085	0.076	0.094	
South Thompson	0.085	0.008	0.084	0.071	0.099	0.148	0.008	0.148	0.135	0.161	
Washington Coast	0.094	0.009	0.094	0.080	0.110	0.092	0.007	0.092	0.081	0.104	
Interior Columbia (Su/F)	0.226	0.012	0.226	0.206	0.246	0.152	0.008	0.152	0.139	0.165	
Oregon Coast	0.084	0.009	0.083	0.069	0.099	0.112	0.007	0.112	0.100	0.125	
Other	0.070	0.007	0.070	0.058	0.083	0.084	0.006	0.083	0.074	0.094	
		AY 2	2011 (n = 5)	,198)		AY 2012 (<i>n</i> = 3,288)					
	90% CI									6 CI	
Reporting Group	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	
SEAK/TBR	0.186	0.006	0.186	0.177	0.196	0.255	0.009	0.255	0.241	0.269	
NCBC	0.101	0.005	0.101	0.093	0.110	0.099	0.007	0.099	0.088	0.111	
West Vancouver	0.121	0.005	0.121	0.113	0.129	0.100	0.006	0.100	0.091	0.109	
South Thompson	0.097	0.005	0.097	0.090	0.105	0.055	0.005	0.055	0.048	0.063	
Washington Coast	0.092	0.005	0.092	0.085	0.100	0.109	0.007	0.108	0.097	0.120	
Interior Columbia (Su/F)	0.210	0.006	0.210	0.200	0.220	0.194	0.008	0.194	0.181	0.208	
Oregon Coast	0.107	0.005	0.107	0.099	0.114	0.080	0.006	0.080	0.070	0.091	
Other	0.086	0.004	0.086	0.078	0.093	0.108	0.006	0.108	0.098	0.119	
		AY 2	2013 (n = 2)	.095)		AY 2014 (<i>n</i> = 3,465)					
					6 CI					6 CI	
Reporting Group	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	
SEAK/TBR	0.221	0.010	0.221	0.205	0.238	0.110	0.006	0.109	0.100	0.120	
NCBC	0.091	0.008	0.091	0.079	0.104	0.056	0.005	0.056	0.049	0.064	
West Vancouver	0.127	0.008	0.127	0.114	0.141	0.113	0.007	0.113	0.102	0.125	
South Thompson	0.078	0.008	0.078	0.065	0.091	0.059	0.006	0.059	0.050	0.069	
Washington Coast	0.047	0.007	0.046	0.036	0.058	0.071	0.008	0.071	0.059	0.085	
Interior Columbia (Su/F)	0.287	0.012	0.287	0.267	0.308	0.443	0.013	0.443	0.422	0.464	
Oregon Coast	0.083	0.009	0.083	0.069	0.098	0.067	0.008	0.067	0.055	0.080	
Other	0.066	0.007	0.066	0.056	0.077	0.081	0.007	0.081	0.069	0.093	
		ΔV?	2015 (n = 2)	816)			ΔV	2016 (n = 3)	850)		
		AT 2	2013(n-2)		6 CI			2010(n - 3)		6 CI	
Reporting Group	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	
SEAK/TBR	0.154	0.007	0.154	0.143	0.165	0.106	0.005	0.106	0.099	0.115	
	0.104	0.007	0.104	0.140				0.100		0.113	

Appendix B12.–Estimated contributions of driver stock reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the annual Southeast Alaska troll fishery harvest, AY 2009–2016.

		AY 2	2015 (n = 2)	,816)			AY 2016 (<i>n</i> = 3,850)					
			90%	6 CI				90%	6 CI			
Reporting Group	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%		
SEAK/TBR	0.154	0.007	0.154	0.143	0.165	0.106	0.005	0.106	0.099	0.115		
NCBC	0.111	0.008	0.111	0.099	0.124	0.078	0.005	0.078	0.071	0.086		
West Vancouver	0.060	0.005	0.060	0.052	0.069	0.084	0.005	0.083	0.075	0.092		
South Thompson	0.072	0.007	0.072	0.060	0.085	0.074	0.006	0.073	0.064	0.084		
Washington Coast	0.067	0.008	0.066	0.054	0.080	0.048	0.006	0.047	0.038	0.057		
Interior Columbia (Su/F)	0.373	0.013	0.373	0.352	0.393	0.386	0.010	0.386	0.369	0.403		
Oregon Coast	0.074	0.009	0.073	0.060	0.088	0.120	0.008	0.120	0.107	0.133		
Other	0.090	0.007	0.090	0.079	0.102	0.105	0.006	0.104	0.095	0.115		

Note: Successfully genotyped sample sizes (n), standard deviation (SD), and 90% credibility intervals (CI) are provided. *Note*: Reporting groups are described in Table 1.

	1	1.17	$\frac{1}{2000}(m-1)$	220)			AX 2010 (n - 1.240)					
		AI 2	2009 (n = 1)				AY 2010 (<i>n</i> = 1,349) 90% CI					
		(D	14 P	-	6 CI		(ID)	N . P				
Reporting Group	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%		
SEAK/TBR	0.671	0.012	0.671	0.651	0.691	0.508	0.011	0.508	0.491	0.525		
NCBC	0.070	0.008	0.070	0.057	0.085	0.075	0.009	0.075	0.061	0.091		
West Vancouver	0.061	0.007	0.061	0.050	0.072	0.099	0.008	0.099	0.085	0.113		
South Thompson	0.035	0.006	0.034	0.026	0.044	0.112	0.009	0.112	0.097	0.127		
Washington Coast	0.031	0.005	0.031	0.023	0.040	0.070	0.008	0.070	0.057	0.083		
Interior Columbia (Su/F)	0.078	0.007	0.078	0.067	0.090	0.080	0.008	0.080	0.067	0.094		
Oregon Coast	0.015	0.004	0.014	0.009	0.021	0.028	0.006	0.028	0.019	0.038		
Other	0.039	0.006	0.039	0.030	0.050	0.027	0.005	0.027	0.019	0.037		
		A X7 C	011 (705)			A 37 /	2012 ((10)			
		AY 2	2011 (n = 1)		6 CI		AY .	2012 (n = 1)		6 CI		
Reporting Group	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%		
SEAK/TBR	0.489	0.010	0.489	0.472	0.506	0.426	0.013	0.426	0.405	0.446		
NCBC	0.075	0.007	0.075	0.063	0.088	0.063	0.009	0.063	0.050	0.079		
West Vancouver	0.124	0.008	0.124	0.111	0.137	0.090	0.008	0.089	0.076	0.104		
South Thompson	0.050	0.006	0.050	0.041	0.059	0.069	0.008	0.069	0.057	0.083		
Washington Coast	0.072	0.007	0.072	0.061	0.084	0.095	0.009	0.095	0.081	0.111		
Interior Columbia (Su/F)	0.110	0.008	0.110	0.098	0.122	0.165	0.010	0.164	0.148	0.182		
Oregon Coast	0.041	0.005	0.041	0.032	0.050	0.046	0.007	0.046	0.035	0.058		
Other	0.039	0.005	0.039	0.031	0.049	0.040	0.007	0.047	0.035	0.050		
						01011	0.000	01017	01007	0.007		
		AY 2	2013 (n = 1)				AY 2014 (<i>n</i> = 2,052)					
				90%	6 CI		90% CI					
Reporting Group	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%		
SEAK/TBR	0.428	0.010	0.428	0.413	0.444	0.296	0.007	0.296	0.283	0.308		
NCBC	0.063	0.007	0.062	0.052	0.074	0.064	0.006	0.064	0.054	0.074		
West Vancouver	0.102	0.008	0.101	0.089	0.114	0.124	0.008	0.124	0.111	0.136		
South Thompson	0.048	0.006	0.048	0.039	0.058	0.048	0.005	0.047	0.040	0.056		
Washington Coast	0.071	0.007	0.070	0.059	0.082	0.053	0.006	0.053	0.045	0.063		
Interior Columbia (Su/F)	0.206	0.010	0.206	0.190	0.223	0.319	0.010	0.319	0.303	0.336		
Oregon Coast	0.046	0.006	0.046	0.036	0.056	0.043	0.005	0.042	0.035	0.051		
Other	0.037	0.005	0.036	0.029	0.045	0.054	0.006	0.054	0.045	0.064		
	AY 2015 (<i>n</i> = 1,913)						AY	2016 (<i>n</i> = 1	,921)			
			·		6 CI					6 CI		
Reporting Group	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%	Mean	SD	Median	5%	95%		
SEAK/TBR	0.299	0.010	0.298	0.283	0.315	0.175	0.009	0.175	0.160	0.191		
NCBC	0.098	0.008	0.098	0.085	0.112	0.100	0.009	0.100	0.085	0.115		

Appendix B13.–Estimated contributions of driver stock reporting groups of Chinook salmon to the annual Southeast Alaska sport fishery harvest, AY 2009–2016.

Note: Successfully genotyped sample sizes (n), standard deviation (SD), and 90% credibility intervals (CI) are provided. *Note*: Reporting groups are described in Table 1.

0.159

0.050

0.065

0.186

0.031

0.034

0.192

0.074

0.091

0.223

0.052

0.054

0.011

0.009

0.007

0.013

0.007

0.008

0.214

0.092

0.053

0.254

0.049

0.063

0.214

0.092

0.053

0.254

0.049

0.063

0.233

0.107

0.065

0.275

0.061

0.076

0.195

0.078

0.043

0.233

0.038

0.051

0.175

0.061

0.078

0.204

0.041

0.043

0.175

0.061

0.078

0.205

0.041

0.044

0.010

0.007

0.008

0.011

0.007

0.006

West Vancouver

South Thompson

Oregon Coast

Other

Washington Coast

Interior Columbia (Su/F)